

# NICS SCH

## PROGRAM from March 30<sup>th</sup> to April 3<sup>rd</sup> 2020



**97** international students

Challenge: to issue recommendations

TechLawcamp: learn new technology tools for lawyers

**Panel discussions** with academics, practitioners and representatives of **European institutions** 





























## **Monday**

#### co-working room

9h - 9h20: **WELCOME** 

M. Cannarsa, Director of Law Faculty

9h20 - 10h15: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS - GUIDELINES FOR THE WEEK WORK

10h15 - 11h30 : DISCUSSIONS ON THE PROCESS AND OUTCOMES OF THE MOCK TRIAL - PART 1

11h30 - 11h45 : break

11h45 - 12h45: REPORTS ON THE PROCESS AND OUTCOMES OF THE MOCK TRIAL - PART 2

12h45 - 14h: Lunch organised by the Law Faculty (Vicat room)

14h - 16h : WORKSHOP ON SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS OF LEGAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ISSUES

16h45 - 18h: **BOAT-CRUISE IN LYON ENGLISH GUIDE (organized by the Law Faculty)** 



## **Tuesday**

co-working room + Vicat room

#### **TECHLAW CAMP - PART 1**

9h - 9h30 : Arrival & Registration

9h30 - 10h : Intro RAILS / Admin / Split into Groups

10h - 10h30: Königsweg Intro / Justix Intro

10h30 - 10h45 : Break

10h45 - 13h15 : Königsweg Exercise / Justix Exercise

12h30 - 14h : Lunch

Free lunch for students

[possibility of lunching on the spot - university restaurant]

#### **TECHLAW CAMP - PART 2**

14h15 - 14h45 : Justix Intro / Königsweg Intro

14h45 - 17h15 : Justix Exercise / Königsweg Exercise

17h15 - 17h30 : Break

17h30 - 18h30 : Show & Tell





## Wednesday

co-working room

9h - 9h30: **TEAMS REORGANIZATION + EXPLANATION OF WORK EXPECTATIONS FOR THE COMING DAYS** 

9h30-10h30: RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS ON MAIN LEGAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ISSUES TO SUBMIT ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON - PART 1

10h30 - 11h50 : break


10h50 - 12h30 : RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS ON MAIN LEGAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ISSUES TO SUBMIT ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON - PART 2

12h30 - 14h : Lunch

Free lunch for students (possibility of lunching on the spot - university restaurant)

14h30 - 16h : POOLING OF RESULTS - CLASSIFICATION BY ISSUE

17h - 19h: VIEUX-LYON TOUR (ORGANIZED BY THE LAW FACULTY)



## **Thursday**

co-working room

9h - 10h30:

10h30 - 10h50 : Break

10h50 - 12h50:

12h50 - 14h : **Lunch** Free lunch for students

(possibility of lunching on the spot - university restaurant)

14h - 16h:

16h: LEISURE ACTIVITIES FOR STUDENTS
(ORGANIZED BY STUDENTS OF THE LAW FACULTY)





## **Friday**

9h - 12h : STUDENTS Preparation for the oral presentation - eloquence workshop

#### 8h30 - 12h00 ·

DISSEMINATION EVENT - How to adress AI challenges in the current legal framework?

#### 8h30: Welcome

M. Cannarsa, Director of Law Faculty

9h - 10h : The TLC method : presentation and assessment/results

- Marc Clément, Marjolaine Monot-Fouletier, Christophe Pons: genealogy and objectives of the project
- Pietro Ortollani, Piotr Tereszkiewicz: Training and mock trial
- Monika Namysłowska, Bianca Gardella Tedeschi: openness on the professional word

10h - 12h : Panel discussion n° 1 : The TLC method to take on future technological challenges

- Francisco de Elizalde, Professor, IE University
- Lucie Cluzel-Metayer, Professor, University of Lorraine
- Juliette Senechal, Lecturer, University of Lille
- Alberto de Franceschi, Associate Professor, University of Ferrara
- Alexis Chabert, Lawyer, President of the Incubator of the Lyon Bar Association
- Stephane Barbier, Transpolis
- Riccardo de Caria, Assistant Professor of Comparative Public Law -University of Torino

#### 12h - 13h : Lunch organised by the Law Faculty

### 13h - 16h30: Legal answers to adress AI challenges & perspectives of common regulations/standards at European level

#### 13h-13h30 : Introductory remarks

- David Moreau, Assistant Secretary General - Council of State
- Pascal Pichonnaz, Vice-President of the European Law Institute

### 13h30 - 14h30 : Presentation of the students recommendations

#### 14h30 - 16h15 : Panel discussion n°2

- David Moreau, Assistant Secretary General Council of State
- Pascal Pichonnaz, Vice-President of the European Law Institute
- Florence G'sell, Professor, University of Lorraine
- Sofia Ranchordas, Professor, University of Groningen
- Representative from the European Commission
- Jean-Marc Van Gyseghem, Director of Research, CRIDS
- Alexis Chabert, Lawyer, President of the Incubator of the Lyon Bar Association

16h15: Award of certificates to the students

16h45 : End of the meeting / distribution of Spring School evaluation questionnaire



With the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



**TECH LAW CLINICS** 

Friday, November 6<sup>th</sup> 9am - 12:30pm

**Webinar Free participation** 

## DISSEMINATION

HOW TO ADDRESS AI CHALLENGES IN THE CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK?

9am: Welcome

9:10am - 11am

Panel 1: The TCL method to take on future technological challenges

- Presentation and assessment/result: (pedagogical team)
- Pascal Pichonnaz, Vice-President of the European Law Institute: "Between technological understanding and legal mastery: don't throw the baby out with the bath water"
  - Francisco de Elizalde, Professor, IE
     University: "Technological efficiency as a new legal methodology"
- Lucie Cluzel-Metayer, Professor, University of Lorraine:
   "Supervision of Public Algorithms, The Question of Judicial Review"
- Stephane Barbier, Chief Business Development
   Officer Transpolis

#### 11am - 12:30pm

Panel n°2: Legal answers to address AI challenges & perpectives of common regulations/standards at European level

- Sofia Ranchordas, Professor, University of Groningen: "Administrative Vulnerability in the Age of Government Automation"
- Jean-Marc Van Gyseghem, Research Director and scientific coordinator, CRIDS: "Artificial intelligence and monitoring patient at distance"
- Alexis Chabert, Lawyer President of the Incubator of the Lyon Bar Association
- Corneliu Hoedlmayr, Member of the Legal service, European Commission: "Plateform responsibility for illegal content"

























#### Final program Spring School Nijmegen 15-19 March 2021





#### **DAY 1 (15th March 2021):**

#### Zoom link:

https://radbouduniversity.zoom.us/j/84278755628?pwd=SEc3czRPZDZOYmpTNWhv O1ZHWGRTdz09

Morning: 9.30 - 11.30

9.30-9.45: Plenary Session - Welcome (Prof. Piet Hein van Kempen - Dean Law Faculty Radboud-; Prof. André Janssen - Chair Professor of Private Law -)

9.45 - 10.15: Introductory remarks - Overview of the calendar for the week - Guidelines for the week (Tom Vennmanns, Pietro Ortolani + other members of the pedagogical team)

#### 10.15-11.00: Breakout Session: Discussion of the case and mock trial

10 teams of 6-7 students (each University is represented in each team) discuss their general views about the case, and what they have learned from the mock trial

#### Questions to be addressed by each group:

- What were the most relevant legal issues of the case?
- What were the most relevant technological issues of the case?
- Applying our national law to the case, what is the outcome of the case?
- Is this outcome fair? Do lawyers and computer scientists agree on this?

#### Goal of the session

Each team appoints a representative that will give a 5-minute pitch on the team's findings, and answer the questions above.

#### 11.00-12.00: Plenary session (Pedagogical team)

Each team representative gives a 5-minute pitch of their results.

#### Afternoon: 14.30 - 16.30

14.30-14.45: Plenary Session: brief summary of the legal and technical issues identified during the morning and explanation of the assignment for the afternoon (Pedagogical Team)

#### 14.45-15.45: Breakout Session: Top-level Choices on Legislative Intervention

**10** teams of **6-7** students (each University is represented in each team) discuss what type of legislative initiative (if any) is necessary, in their opinion

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

- What issues are in need of legal reform?
- Do these issues "belong" together, i.e. should they all be regulated in the same instrument? If not, which issues should be chosen?
- At what level should the new legal instrument be adopted? (National law/EU law/International law/Transnational law/Soft law) Why?
- What should be the form of this new legal instrument? (National statute/EU Directive/EU Regulation/EU Recommendation/Treaty/Model Law/Guidelines/Legislative Guide etc.)

#### 15.45-16.45: Plenary session (Pedagogical Team)

Each team representative (different from the first one) gives a five-minute explanation of the choices that the team has made.

#### <u>DAY 2 - Tech&Law Camp (16<sup>th</sup> March 2021):</u> Organized by RAILS (Robotics & AI Law Society)

#### **Zoom link:**

https://radbouduniversity.zoom.us/j/82168758941?pwd=cWNoeTlua1U4d3hJQk9XYmJJeVk5OT09

#### **Programme:**

9.00 Welcoming (by Prof. Ortolani, RAILS, eLegal)

9.10 Introduction to LawLift (by Lawlift Nadine Biefer)

9.40 Lawlift Workshop (with Lawlift, eLegal and Coaches)

12.30 Lunch Break

13.30 Group Gathering (Feedback)

14.30 Lecture AI

15.30 Practical insight Legal Tech

16.15 Farewell

#### **DAY 3 (17<sup>th</sup> March 2021):**

#### Zoom link:

https://radbouduniversity.zoom.us/j/82493312624?pwd=VVlZY1pHQk90OW9FekYzYnAyUEcvdz09

#### Morning: 9.30-11.50

### 9.30-11.50: Plenary Session – Guest Lectures: Regulating Technology, Modernizing Law

Presentations from different perspectives on the challenges of regulating new technologies

#### • Panel No. 1: (9:30-10:30) Administrative and state law

9:30-9:50 Prof. Sofia Ranchordás (University of Groningen; Full Professor of European and Comparative Public Law):

Automating Vulnerability: What Lies beneath the automation of government decision-making

9:50-10:10 Dr. Catalin Rusu (Radboud University; Associate Professor of European Law) *Competition law developments in digital markets* 

10:10-10:30: Questions and plenary discussion

#### •Panel No. 2: (10:30-11:30) Civil law

10:30-10:50: Naomi Appelmann (University of Amsterdam): *The (procedural) perils of removing unlawful content of the internet* 

10:50-11:10: Dr. Allesandro Palombo (Jur AG, CEO and co-founder of legal tech company Jur AG): *Decentralized dispute resolution types* 

11:10-11:30: Dr. Eliza Mik (The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) - Faculty of Law): What does it mean to make contracts smart?

11:30-11:50: Questions and plenary discussion

#### Afternoon: 14.30-16.30

## 14.30-14.45: Presentation of the assignment: Details of the legislative intervention (Pedagogical Team)

#### 14.45-16.30: Breakout Session: Details of the legislative intervention

10 teams of 6-7 students (each University is represented in each team) discuss the content of the new legal instrument. Each team must find a consensus on the main questions.

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

- Main goals of the legislative instrument: controlling/prohibiting/facilitating/requiring/nudging behaviour of which actors?
- Why is this legislative intervention justified, adequate, proportionate and desirable? What is its legal basis?
- What are the main rules that should be introduced, to achieve the instrument's goals?

#### 17.30-19.00: Online Pub Quiz organized by Radboud University

#### **DAY 4 (18th March 2021):**

#### **Zoom link:**

https://radbouduniversity.zoom.us/j/82689605889?pwd=eGxIQkJIb0hiSmp1WXBDM Up2dm9ZZz09

#### **Morning: 9.30-11.30**

9.30-11.30: Workshop - "The Art of Presenting Your Proposal" by Artesc

#### Afternoon: 14.30-16.30

14.30-14.45: Presentation of the assignment (Pedagogical Team)

14.45-16.30: Breakout Session: Drafting

11 teams of 6-7 students (each University is represented in each team) decide how to present their law proposal

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

- What is the added value of your legislative proposal, and how can you present it to a wide audience of non-specialists?
- What are the key "selling point"? Explain the main rules that you are proposing, and why they are important and useful
- Decide who is going to present for each team (one or more representatives)
- Decide whether you are going to use a short PowerPoint presentation and, if yes, prepare it

#### **DAY 5 (19th March 2021):**

#### **Zoom link:**

https://radbouduniversity.zoom.us/j/86705852437?pwd=OXBQYXRJMEo5U2kvNWNvOHo4RS92QT09

#### **Morning: 9.30-11.30**

#### **Plenary Session - Team Pitches**

Each team presents its proposal for a new law to a jury composed by external stakeholders. The jury asks questions.

#### Jury members:

- **A. Prof. Michel Cannarsa**: Professor and the Dean of the Catholic University of Lyon Law School
- **B.** Marc Clément: Administrative judge at the Administrative Court of Appeal of Lyon (France)
- **C. Alessandro Palombo**: Expert in legal technology and Fintech. He is currently CEO and co-founder of Swiss egal tech company Jur AG.
- **D. Piedade Costa de Oliviera:** Lawyer and former member of the Legal Service of the European Commission

#### Afternoon: 16.00-19.00

## **16.00-16.30:** Breakout Session for Jury – Deliberations (break for all other participants)

The jury discusses the proposals and chooses the winning team.

#### 16.30-16.45 Plenary Session – Awards Ceremony

The jury gives its feedback on the proposals of each team and announces the winning team.

16.45-17.30 Break

17.30-19.00 Plenary Session: Online Bingo organized by Radboud University, and Closing of the Spring School



#### **Dissemination Event**



21 January 2022

Registration link: https://forms.gle/29okvbB7Bqr8enYYA

#### 9.30-9.45 Welcome address

Roel Schutgens (Law Faculty Dean, Radboud University), Pietro Ortolani, Loes Spiertz (International Office)

9.45-10.15 The Tech Law Clinics project: origins, development so far, methodological guide, and future perspectives

Marjolaine Monot-Fouletier, Michel Cannarsa (UCly), Pietro Ortolani

10.15-10.45 The 2021/2022 Case

Piotr Tereszkiewicz (Jagiellonian University) in dialogue with students

10.45-11.00 Q&A

11.00-11.15 Break

#### 11.15-11.30 Reflections from a past participant and a partner

Raffaele Battaglini and Luigi Cantisani in dialogue with past clinic participants

#### 11.30-12.30 Roundtable and discussion

Digital technologies and the future of legal education

Moderator: Pietro Ortolani (Radboud)

Participants: Bianca Gardella Tedeschi (UPO), Monika Namyslowska (Lodz), Merel Noorman (Tilburg University), Catalina Goanta (Maastricht), Camille Vinet (Magistrate, CAA Lyon), Jeroen Zweers (ELTA)









#### Tech Law Clinics Spring School Kraków 28 March-1 April 2022

#### **PROGRAMME**

**DAY 1 (28th March 2022)** 

#### **WEBEX LINK:**

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=meaecebaa2ad3ca49f2dfc22691b2e83a

Morning session: 8.30–12.00

8:30 Transmission opens

9:00–9:15 Plenary Session - Welcome (Prof. Jerzy Pisuliński - Dean of the Jagiellonian University's Law Faculty; Piotr Tereszkiewicz)

9:15–10:00 Introductory remarks – Overview of the calendar for the week – Guidelines for the week (members of the Pedagogical Team)

10:00–11.00 Breakout Session: Discussion of the case and mock trial

**9** teams of **7–8** students (each University is represented in each team) discuss their general views about the case, and what they have learned from the mock trial.

#### Questions to be addressed by each group:

- What were the most relevant legal issues of the case?
- What were the most relevant technological issues of the case?
- Applying our national law to the case, what is the outcome of the case?
- Is this outcome fair? Do lawyers, computer scientists, and management scholars agree on this?

#### Goal of the session:

Each team appoints a representative that will give a 5-minute pitch on the team's findings and answer the above questions.

#### 11:00–12:00 Plenary session (Pedagogical team)

All team representatives give a 5-minute pitch of their results.

#### Afternoon session: 14:30–16:45

14:30–14:45 Plenary Session: a summary of the legal and technical issues identified during the morning and explanation of the assignment for the afternoon (Pedagogical Team)

#### 14:45–15:45 Breakout Session: Top-level Choices on Legislative Intervention

**9** teams of **7-8** students (each University is represented in each team) discuss what type of legislative initiative (if any) is necessary, in their opinion

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

- What issues are in need of legal reform?
- Do these issues 'belong' together, i.e., should they all be regulated in the same instrument? If not, which issues should be chosen?
- At what level should the new legal instrument be adopted? (National law/EU law/International law/Transnational law/Soft law) Why?
- What should be the form of this new legal instrument? (National statute/EU
  Directive/EU Regulation/EU Recommendation/Treaty/Model
  Law/Guidelines/Legislative Guide etc.)

#### 15:45–16:45 Plenary session (Pedagogical Team)

Each team representative (different from the first one) gives a five-minute explanation of the choices that the team has made.

#### DAY 2 (29<sup>th</sup> March 2022)

#### **WEBEX LINK:**

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=m0505f6b60cd57cde566dea168659e52b

#### Morning session: 9:30-13:00

#### Plenary Session: Guest Lectures on Interactions of Law and Technology

Presentations from different perspectives on the challenges of accommodating and regulating new technologies:

- [9:30] Prof. Caroline Cauffman, Maastricht, *Retail Investing Apps: Investing Made Too Easy?*
- [10:00] Prof. Pablo Cortez, Leicester, *ODR for Consumers Surveying the EU law Landscape*
- [10:30] Judge Camille Vinet, Administrative Court of Appeal of Lyon, *The Digitization of Judicial Procedures in France Selected Aspects*

#### **BREAK**

- [11:15] Attorney Paweł Stykowski, DWF Law, InsurTechs and LegalTechs in the Insurance Sector
- [11:45] Prof. Justyna Trybalska-Ożegalska, Jagiellonian University Kraków, *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Intellectual Property Law*
- [12:00] Attorney Marco Venturello, Venturello e Bottarini, Avvocati, Torino, Artificial intelligence as a Designated Inventor of a Patent: the DABUS case
- [12:30] Prof. Eliza Mik, CUHK Hong Kong, Smart Contracts: Evaluating their Legal and Technical Feasibility

#### Afternoon session: 14:00–16:00

#### 14:00–16:00 Workshop with Ethereum4:

Exploring the world of smart contracts & blockchain and their relevance for lawyers (Matteo Tambussi & Luigi Cantisani)

#### **DAY 3 (30<sup>th</sup> March 2022)**

#### **WEBEX LINK:**

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=mbe0a75749744a95cf23c5fe9f3cd2590

#### Morning session: 9:00-12:00

9:00–9:45 Q&A Session with Bluerank, a top-level online marketing agency

10:00 –12:00 Workshop with Bluerank: Contract Drafting and Negotiating (group work accompanied by coaches)

#### Afternoon session: 13:00-17:00

13:00–14:45 Workshop with Bluerank: Group presentations

#### **Break**

15:00–15:15 Presentation of the assignment: Details of the legislative intervention (Pedagogical Team)

#### 15:15–17:00 Breakout Session: Details of the legislative intervention

**9** teams of **7-8** students (each University is represented in each team) discuss the content of the new legal instrument. Each team must find a consensus on the main questions.

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

- Main goals of the legislative instrument:
   controlling/prohibiting/facilitating/requiring/nudging behaviour of which actors?
- Why is this legislative intervention justified, adequate, proportionate, and desirable? What is its legal basis?
- What are the main rules that should be introduced, to achieve the instrument's goals?

#### **DAY 4 (31st March 2022)**

#### MS TEAMS LINK (MORNING SESSION):

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

join/19%3ameeting\_MjdkMTczMzAtNzI4Mi00ZTU2LThjNzEtMGNhOGM1MDlhYmMx%

40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22eb0e26eb-bfbe-47d2-9e90-

ebd2426dbceb%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22fb48c9c1-a56a-4d2c-b346-

41a2c9232b24%22%7d

#### WEBEX LINK (AFTERNOON SESSION):

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=mac573c259b18ae6426f7924b8de15e7a

#### MS TEAMS LINK – JU MUSEUM VIRTUAL VISIT (16:45–17:45):

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

join/19%3ameeting\_MDllYjQzM2MtYzY4OC00Nzc3LThmNDUtYTBmMTc1ODk3ZDhh

%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22eb0e26eb-bfbe-47d2-9e90-

ebd2426dbceb%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%2201daae03-5404-4843-b4e0-

3793322b7a64%22%7d

Morning session: 9:00–13:00

9:00–13:00 Plenary Session:

Workshop – 'The Art of Presenting Your Proposal', with Dr. Christopher Reeves, Jagiellonian University

Afternoon session: 14:30–16:30

14:30–14:45 Presentation of the assignment (Pedagogical Team)

14:45–16:30 Breakout Session: Drafting

**9** teams of **7-8** students (each University is represented in each team) decide how to present their law proposal

#### Questions to be addressed in each group:

• What is the added value of your legislative proposal, and how can you present it to a wide audience of non-specialists?

- What are the key 'selling points'? Explain the main rules that you are proposing, and why they are important and useful.
- Decide who is going to present for each team (one or more representatives).
- Decide whether you are going to use a short PowerPoint presentation and, if yes, prepare it.

#### 16:45-17:45 Virtual visit to the Jagiellonian University Museum

#### **DAY 5** (1st of April 2022)

#### **WEBEX LINK (BREAKOUT SESSIONS):**

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=maa2aef05413479c12fdd175ad2442b6c

#### WEBEX LINK (AFTERNOON OPEN SESSION):

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=mb0ee2025a855128c88424eff1a6ff512

#### Morning session: 9:00–12:30

#### Breakout Sessions: Rehearsing your proposal before the presentation

**9** teams of **7-8** students (each University is represented in each team) rehearse their final presentations.

#### Afternoon session: 14:00–16:30

## 14:00–15:30: Student Teams present Proposals on How to Regulate Aspects of Law & Technology

#### Jurors:

- Prof. Michel Cannarsa, Dean of the Law Faculty, Catholic University of Lyon
- Prof. Radosław Flejszar, Jagiellonian University in Kraków
- Attorney Raffaele Battaglini, Futura Law Firm
- Mr Giovanni Pischedda, Torino Chamber of Commerce

#### 15:30-16:15 Expert Talks 'Digitalisation on the Agenda of the European Union'

- Dr. Sina Van den Bogaert, European Commission, Brussels
- Dr. Bartłomiej Kurcz, European Commission, Brussels

#### 16:30 Awards Ceremony

The jury gives its feedback on the proposals of each team and announces the winning team.

17:00 Conclusion of the TechLaw Clinic Spring School 2022









## Dissemination Event Tech Law Clinics Spring School Kraków 1st of April 2022

#### **WEBEX LINK:**

https://wpiauj.webex.com/wpiauj/j.php?MTID=mb0ee2025a855128c88424eff1a6ff512

#### Morning session: 9:00–12:30

**Welcome:** Prof. Jerzy Pisuliński – Dean of the Jagiellonian University's Law Faculty; Prof. Piotr Tereszkiewicz

## TechLaw Clinics as an Innovative Way of Teaching Law & Technology: The Ambition and Methodology of Tech Law Clinics:

- Marc Clément, Judge at the Administrative Court of Lyon
- Prof. Marjolaine Monot Fouletier, Catholic University of Lyon
- Prof. Bianca Gardella Tedeschi, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara
- Prof. Monika Namysłowska, University of Łódź
- Prof. Pietro Ortolani, Radboud University, Nijmegen

#### Insights from academics on teaching law & technology:

- Prof. Justyna Ożegalska-Trybalska, Jagiellonian University in Kraków
- Prof. Jakub Szczerbowski, University of Łódź

#### **Insights from practitioners & regulators:**

- Oskar Jedynasty, Head of Software Development & Damian Jagusz, Head of IT Compliance, ErgoHestia S.A.
- Attorney Iwona Jarosz, NASK National Research Institute

#### **Experience of past participants:**

- Stefanie Boss, Nijmegen
- Pietro Rodini, Piemonte
- Szymon Skalski, Kraków

#### Afternoon session: 14:00–16:30

## 14:00–15:30 Student Teams present Proposals on How to Regulate Aspects of Law & Technology

#### Jurors:

- Prof. Michel Cannarsa, Dean of the Law Faculty, Catholic University of Lyon
- Prof. Radosław Flejszar, Jagiellonian University in Kraków
- Attorney Raffaele Battaglini, Futura Law Firm
- Mr Giovanni Pischedda, Torino Chamber of Commerce

#### 15:30-16:15 Expert Talks 'Digitalisation on the Agenda of the European Union':

- Dr. Sina Van den Bogaert, European Commission, Brussels
- Dr. Bartłomiej Kurcz, European Commission, Brussels

#### 16:30 Awards Ceremony

The jury gives its feedback on the proposals of each team and announces the winning team.