

Janusz Czelakowski

PARTIAL BOOLEAN σ -ALGEBRAS

The present note contains some simple generalizations of notions introduced in [1] and [2]. We refer the reader to those papers for all relevant definitions.

DEFINITION 1. We shall say that the system $\underline{B} = \langle B; \circ; \vee, \neg; 1 \rangle$ is a partial Boolean σ -algebra ($PB\sigma$ Algebra) if \underline{B} is a partial Boolean algebra and for every denumerable sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in N}$ of mutually commensurable elements of B ($a_m \circ a_n$ for $m, n \in N$) there exists $b \in B$ that

- (i) $\forall_{n \in N} a_n \subseteq b$ (i.e., $a_n \circ b$ and $a_n \vee b = b$)
- (ii) $\forall_{c \in B} \forall_{n \in N} (a_n \circ c \Rightarrow b \circ c)$
- (iii) $\forall_{c \in B} \forall_{n \in N} (a_n \subseteq c \Rightarrow b \subseteq c)$

We shall write: $b = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. \bigvee is a well-defined partial, infinite function.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $L(H)$ be the set of all closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space. It is well-known that $\underline{L}(H) = \langle L(H); \circ; \vee; \neg; H \rangle$ is a PA Algebra, where \circ is defined as follows:

$H_1 \circ H_2$ iff there exist a basis ε and subsets $\varepsilon_i \subseteq \varepsilon (i = 1, 2)$ such that ε_i is a basis in $H_i (i = 1, 2)$ and $\neg H_0$ is the orthogonal complementation of H_0 ([1]).
 $\underline{L}(H)$ is also a $PB\sigma$ Algebra because of the following simple fact:

If $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n, \dots$ are mutually commensurable ($H_m \circ H_n$ for $m, n \in N$) then there exists a basis ε in H and subsets $\varepsilon_n \subseteq \varepsilon (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that ε_n is a basis in $H_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$

As before one can consider the logic based on $PB\sigma$ Algebras. Strictly speaking, let $P_0 = \{x_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\}$ be the set of variables. P – the set of formulas of infinite length – is defined as the least set satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $P_0 \subseteq P$
- (2) If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in P$, then $\bigvee \{\varphi_n : n \in N\} \in P$
- (3) If $\varphi \in P$, then $\neg\varphi \in P$.

By a valuation h of \underline{P} in \underline{B} ($\underline{B} \in PB\sigma A$) we shall mean every partial homomorphism of \underline{P} into \underline{B} , i.e., a function whose domain $Dom(h) \subseteq P$ ($h : Dom(h) \rightarrow B$) is the least set, such that:

- (a) Some variables belong to $Dom(h)$ (but not necessarily all); if $x_\nu \in Dom(h)$, then $hx_\nu \in B$.
- (b) If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in Dom(h)$ and $h\varphi_1, h\varphi_2, \dots$ are mutually comeasurable, then $\bigvee \{\varphi_n : n \in N\} \in Dom(h)$; $h(\bigvee \{\varphi_n : n \in N\}) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h\varphi_n$.
- (c) If $\varphi \in Dom(h)$, then $\neg\varphi \in Dom(h)$; $h\neg\varphi = \neg(h\varphi)$.

By a model \mathcal{M} we shall mean any pair $\mathcal{M} = \langle \underline{B}, h \rangle$, where $\underline{B} \in PB\sigma A$ and h is a valuation ($h : \underline{P} \rightarrow \underline{B}$). We shall say that φ is valid in $\mathcal{M} = \langle \underline{B}, h \rangle$ if $\varphi \in Dom(h)$ and $h\varphi = 1$. A formula φ holds in \underline{B} ($\underline{B} \in PB\sigma A$) if $h\varphi = 1$ for every valuation $h : \underline{P} \rightarrow \underline{B}$, such that $\varphi \in Dom(h)$. Similarly, we shall say that an identity $\varphi = \phi$ holds in \underline{B} ($\varphi, \phi \in P$) if $h\varphi = h\phi$ for every valuation $h : \underline{P} \rightarrow \underline{B}$, such that $\varphi, \phi \in Dom(h)$. A formula φ is valid if it holds in every $PB\sigma$ Algebra.

It is easy now to define a consequence operation Cn on \underline{P} . Let $\alpha \in P$ and $X \subseteq P$. Then $\alpha \in Cn(X)$ iff for every $PB\sigma$ Algebra \underline{B} and every valuation $h : \underline{P} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ it holds:

$$\forall \beta \in X (\beta \in Dom(h) \Rightarrow h\beta = 1) \Rightarrow (\alpha \in Dom(h) \Rightarrow h\alpha = 1).$$

Let us observe that $Cn(\emptyset)$ is the set of all valid formulas. In a similar way one can construct the logic based on PB Algebras. Then Cn is a structural consequence operation.

As in the case of PB Algebras it is easy to axiomatize $Cn(\emptyset)$ by a simple modification of methods of Kochen and Specker [1].

It is interesting to establish connections between $PB\sigma$ Algebras and quantum logic (i.e., weakly modular orthoposets). Let us recall this notion:

$\underline{L} = \langle L; \perp; \subseteq; 1 \rangle$ is a quantum Logic if it is a finitely additive quantum logic (see [2], p. 168) and satisfies the condition:

- (L1)* For every denumerable sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of mutually orthogonal elements of L there exists the least upper bound $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

A partial Boolean σ -algebra is transitive if it is transitive as PB Algebra (see [2], p.167).

THEOREM 1. (a) Let $\underline{B} = \langle B; \circ; \vee; \neg; 1 \rangle$ be a transitive partial Boolean σ -algebra. Then $\underline{B}^* = \langle B; \subseteq; \perp; 1 \rangle$, where $a^\perp = \neg a$, is a quantum Logic.

(b) Let $\underline{L} = \langle L; \subseteq; \perp; 1 \rangle$ be a quantum logic. Then $\underline{L}^0 = \langle L; \leftrightarrow; \vee; \neg; 1 \rangle$ is a transitive $PB\sigma$ Algebra, where $\neg a = a^\perp$ and $a \vee b$ is the l.u.b. of a and b ($a \leftrightarrow b$).

(c) Transitive partial Boolean σ -algebras \underline{B} and \underline{B}^{*0} are identical.

(d) Quantum logics \underline{L} and \underline{L}^{0*} are identical.

Partial Boolean σ -algebras are generalizations of quantum logics (because there exist $PB\sigma$ Algebras which are not imbeddable into any transitive $PB\sigma$ Algebra). It would be interesting from physical point of view to develop a calculus of observables just in partial Boolean σ -algebras. Connections with some physical problems like Hidden-Variable Theories are easily seen in the following Imbedding Theorems:

THEOREM 2A. Let $\underline{L} \in PB\sigma A$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a homomorphism of \underline{L} into a Boolean σ -algebra.
- (ii) No formula valid in all Boolean σ -algebras is refutable in \underline{L} .
- (iii) For every denumerable set $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq L$ there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$, $\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 1 \end{cases}$, such that for every set of mutually commensurable elements $\{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots\} \subseteq A$ the inequality holds; $\bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{k_m}^{\varepsilon_{k_m}} \neq 0$.

THEOREM 2B. Let $\underline{L} \in PB\sigma A$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \underline{L} can be weakly imbedded into a Boolean σ -algebra.
- (ii) Each formula valid in all Boolean σ -algebras holds in \underline{L} .

- (iii) For every denumerable set $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq L$ and every element $a_{i_0} \in A$ ($a_{i_0} \neq 0$) there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$ such that
1. $\varepsilon_{i_0} = 1$.
 2. For every set of mutually commensurable elements $\{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots\} \subseteq A$ the inequality holds: $\bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{k_m}^{\varepsilon_{k_m}} \neq 0$.

THEOREM 2C. Let $\underline{L} \in PB\sigma A$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \underline{L} can be imbedded into a Boolean σ -algebra.
- (ii) Each identity $\varphi = \phi$, which holds in all Boolean σ -algebras, is valid in \underline{L} .
- (iii) For every denumerable set $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subseteq L$ and every two distinct elements $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2} \in A$ there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$ such that:
 1. either $\varepsilon_{i_1} = 1, \varepsilon_{i_2} = 0$ or $\varepsilon_{i_1} = 0, \varepsilon_{i_2} = 1$
 2. for every set of mutually commensurable elements $\{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots\} \subseteq A$ the inequality holds: $\bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{k_m}^{\varepsilon_{k_m}} \neq 0$.

References

- [1] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker, *Logical structure arising in quantum theory*, [in:] **The theory of models**, ed. by J. W. Addison, L. Henkin and A. Tarski, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965.
- [2] J. Czelakowski, *Some remarks on transitive partial Boolean algebras*, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic of Inst. of Phil. and Soc. Pol. Acad. Sci.**, vol. 2, No. 3.