R. Quackenbush and R. Suszko

DUAL SPACES FOR TOPOLOGICAL BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

A topological boolean algebra $(t\ b\ a)\ \underline{A} = \langle A; \vee, \wedge, -, 0, 1, I \rangle$ is a boolean algebra $\langle A; \vee, \wedge, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ with a unary interior operator I (i.e. $IIa = Ia \leq a,\ I1 = 1,\ I(a \wedge b) = Ia \wedge Ib$). We assume that the reader is familiar with the discussion of $t\ b\ a$'s found in [1].

Given a t b a \underline{A} let X be the set of prime filters of \underline{A} and τ the topology on X induced by the basis sets $S(a) = \{P \in X | a \in P\}$ for $a \in A$. Clearly $\langle X; \tau \rangle$ is the dual space for \underline{A} as a boolean algebra. Since $I(a \wedge b) = Ia \wedge Ib$, $\{S(Ia)|a \in A\}$ forms a basis for another topology, ι , on X. Obviously ι is coarser than τ , but more than that, the ι -interior of any τ -clopen set is again τ -clopen. We will call $\langle X; \tau, \iota \rangle$ the dual space of \underline{A} .

Given a set X and two topologies τ , ι on X we will call $\langle X; \tau, \iota \rangle$ a t b space if $\langle X; \tau \rangle$ is a boolean space, ι is coarser than τ , and the ι -interior of any τ -clopen set is τ -clopen. If $\langle X; \tau, \iota \rangle$ is a t b space then let A be the boolean algebra of τ -clopen subsets of X. Define I on A to be the ι -interior of any set in A; clearly $\langle A; \cup, \cap, ', \emptyset, X, I \rangle$ is a t b a.

For i=1,2, let \underline{A}_i be a t b a with dual space $\langle X_i : \tau_i, \iota_i \rangle$ and let $h:A_1 \to \underline{A}_2$ be a homomorphism. As in the boolean case we define $\varphi: X_2 \to X_1$ by $\varphi(P) = h^{-1}(P)$ for any $P \in X_2$; φ is a continuous map from $\langle X_2; \tau_2 \rangle$ to $\langle X_1; \tau_1 \rangle$. Also φ satisfies the codnition:

(*) the inverse image of the ι_1 -interior of a τ_1 -clopen set equals the ι_2 -interior of the inverse image of that τ_1 -clopen set.

In particular, φ is a continuous map from $\langle X_2; \iota_2 \rangle$ to $\langle X_1; \iota_1 \rangle$.

Let $\langle X_i; \tau_i, \iota_i \rangle$ be t b spaces and let φ be a satisfies (*) (such maps will be called compatible). Let \underline{A}_i be the t b a induced by $\langle X_i; \tau_i, \iota_i \rangle$ and

define $h: A_1 \to A_2$ by $h(a) = \varphi^{-1}(a)$ for $a \in A_1$. Then h is a $(t \ b \ a)$ homomorphism.

Thus let TBA be the category of all t b a's with homomorphisms as morphisms and TBS the category of t b spaces with compatible maps as morphisms. A straightforward computation now shows that TBA and TBS are dually naturally equivalent.

A t b a \underline{A} is self-dual if I-Ia=-Ia (i.e. the closed elements of \underline{A} are also open). Self-dual algebras are equivalent to Halmos' monadic algebras (see [2]). A t b space $\langle X; \tau, \iota \rangle$ is dual to a self-dual algebra if and only if the τ -interior of a τ -clopen set is τ -clopen.

In the case that \underline{A} is self-dual an alternate description of ι is possible. Let \underline{A} be a t b a and let $P, Q \in X$ (the set of prime filters of \underline{A}). Set $P \sim Q$ if and only if $\{a \in P | Ia = a\} = \{a \in Q | Ia = a\}; \sim$ is an equivalence relation on X. Let Ker(P) be the filter generated by $\{a \in P | Ia = a\}$ and let [Q] be the \sim class containing Q; thus $P \in [Q]$ if and only if Ker(P) = Ker(Q). For $Y \subseteq X$ define $I^*(Y) = \bigcup \{[Q] | [Q] \subseteq Y\}$. It is easily seen that I^* is a self-dual interior operator.

THEOREM. $S(Ia) = I^*(S(a))$ for every $a \in A$ if and only if \underline{A} is self-dual.

PROOF. Clearly it is necessary that \underline{A} be self-dual. For sufficiency, suppose \underline{A} is self-dual. Let $Q \in S(Ia)$; hence $Ia \in Q$. If $R \sim Q$ then $Ia \in R$ so $a \in R$; therefore $[Q] \subseteq S(a)$ so that $Q \in I^*(S(a))$. Conversely let $Q \in I^*(S(a))$; we must show that $Ia \in Q$. Since \underline{A} is self-dual, Ker(Q) is a maximal I-filter. Hence $Ker(Q) = \bigcap \{R | R \in [Q]\}$. But $[Q] \subseteq S(a)$ so that $a \in R$ for all $R \in [Q]$. Thus $a \in Ker(Q)$ and since Ker(Q) is an I-filter, $Ia \in Ker(Q)$. Hence $Ia \in Q$ are desired.

This means that the map $S: a \to S(a)$ is an isomorphism from \underline{A} onto $\langle \{S(a)\}; \cup, \cap, ', \emptyset, X, I^* \rangle$. Thus the duality between TBA and TBS tell us that ι is the topology whose open sets are precisely those sets which are τ -open and I^* -open. That ι need not equal I^* is easily seen by taking \underline{A} infinite and Ia = a.

References

[1] H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski, *The Mathematics of Metamathematics*, **Monografie Matematyczne**, tom 41, Polska Akademia Nauk, Warsaw, 1963.

[2] P. Halmos, Algebraic Logic, Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1962.

University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Manitoba Canada

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey 07030 U.S.A.