

Marek Tokarz

A METHOD OF AXIOMATIZATION OF ŁUKASIEWICZ LOGICS

This is an abstract of the lecture presented at Seminar of the Section of Logic, Polish Academy of Sciences, April 1974. The full text will appear in *Studia Logica*.

By the language of Łukasiewicz logics we understand the algebra of formulas $\underline{L} = \langle L, \rightarrow, \sim \rangle$. The μ -valued Łukasiewicz matrix $\langle \underline{A}_\mu, \{1\} \rangle$, $\mu = 2, 3, \dots, \aleph_0$, (cf. [1]), will be denoted here by \underline{M}_μ . $\mathbf{L}_\mu = R(\underline{M}_\mu)$ is the set of tautologies of \underline{M}_μ and is called the μ -valued Łukasiewicz system.

All the unexplained notation in this text will come from Wójcicki's paper [4]. Let us define two versions of \aleph_0 -valued Łukasiewicz consequence:

- (A) $C_{\aleph_0}(X)$ is the least set of formulas including $X \cup \mathbf{L}_{\aleph_0}$ and closed under modus ponens.
- (B) $\alpha \in C_{\aleph_0}^*(X)$ iff for every $h : \underline{L} \rightarrow^{hom} \underline{A}_{\aleph_0}$ we have $h\alpha = 1$ whenever $h(X) \subseteq \{1\}$.

The following theorem is due to Wójcicki (cf. [4]):

THEOREM 1. *For every finite $X \subseteq L$, $C_{\aleph_0}^*(X) = C_{\aleph_0}(X)$.*

Every system \mathbf{L}_μ , $\mu = 2, 3, \dots, \aleph_0$ is finitely axiomatizable with modus ponens as the only primitive rule of inference. This result is due to Lindenbaum for the finite case and to Wajsberg for \aleph_0 (see the theorems quoted in [1]; cf. also [3]). The schemes of axioms for \mathbf{L}_{\aleph_0} are the following:

- A1. $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$
- A2. $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$
- A3. $((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$
- A4. $(\sim \alpha \rightarrow \sim \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$

It is the purpose of this paper to give an outline of a new short proof of axiomatizability of L_n for every $n \in \omega$, making use of the above Wójcicki's theorem and the McNaughton's criterion for \underline{M}_{\aleph_0} (cf. [2]).

The variable ε is supposed to run over all substitutions in $\underline{L} : Sb(X)$ denotes the set of all substitutions of all formulas in $X \subseteq L$; $V(X)$ is the set of all propositional variables occurring in the formulas of X . Finally we put

$$Sb_\alpha(\beta) = \{\varepsilon\beta \mid \varepsilon : V(L) \rightarrow V(\alpha)\}.$$

By virtue of McNaughton's criterion, for every $n \geq 2$ there is a formula $\alpha_n(p)$ such that for every $x \in A_{\aleph_0}$

$$(*) \quad \alpha_n(x) = 1 \text{ iff } x \in A_n.$$

It is not hard to establish the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. For every $n \geq 2$, $C_{\aleph_0}^*(Sb(\alpha_n)) = L_n$.

LEMMA 2. For every $n \geq 2$, $\beta \in L$, $\beta \in C_{\aleph_0}^*(Sb(\alpha_n))$ iff $\beta \in C_{\aleph_0}^*(Sb_\beta(\alpha_n))$.

Since $Sb_\beta(\alpha)$ is a finite set for every $\alpha, \beta \in L$, we obtain

THEOREM 2. For every $n \geq 2$, $C_{\aleph_0}(Sb(\alpha_n)) = L_n$.

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \in L_n & \text{ iff } \beta \in C_{\aleph_0}^*(Sb(\alpha_n)) & (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ & \text{ iff } \beta \in C_{\aleph_0}^*(Sb_\beta(\alpha_n)) & (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ & \text{ iff } \beta \in C_{\aleph_0}(Sb_\beta(\alpha_n)) & (\text{by Theorem 1}). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. If α satisfies $(*)$ then α may be added to $A1 - A4$ as the only special axiom scheme for L_n .

EXAMPLES. Each one of the following formulas is the only "special" axiom scheme for the suitable system L_n , if added to $A1 - A4$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 : & \quad p \vee p, \sim(p \& \sim p), ((\sim p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p) \& ((p \rightarrow \sim p) \rightarrow \sim p). \\ L_3 : & \quad p \vee \sim p \vee ((p \vee \sim p) \rightarrow (p \& \sim p)), ((\sim p \rightarrow p) \& (p \rightarrow \sim p)) \vee \\ & \quad \sim((\sim p \rightarrow p) \& (p \rightarrow \sim p)). \\ L_4 : & \quad p \vee \sim p \vee ((p \rightarrow \sim(\sim p \rightarrow p)) \& (\sim p \rightarrow (\sim p \rightarrow p))) \vee \\ & \quad ((\sim p \rightarrow \sim(p \rightarrow \sim p)) \& (p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \sim p))). \\ L_5 : & \quad p \vee \sim p \vee ((p \vee \sim p) \rightarrow (p \& \sim p)) \vee ((\sim p \rightarrow (\sim p \rightarrow (\sim p \rightarrow p))) \& \\ & \quad (p \rightarrow \sim(\sim p \rightarrow (\sim p \rightarrow p)))) \vee ((p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \sim p))) \& \\ & \quad \sim(p \rightarrow \sim(p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \sim p)))). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J. Łukasiewicz, A. Tarski, *Untersuchungen ueber den Aussagenkalkuel*, **Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie** 23 (1930), cl. iii, pp. 30–50.
- [2] R. McNaughton, *A theorem about infinite valued sentential logic*, **J. Symb. Logic** 16 (1951), pp. 1–13.
- [3] J. B. Rosser, A. R. Turquette, **Many valued logics**, Amsterdam 1952.
- [4] R. Wójcicki, *On matrix representations of consequence operations of Łukasiewicz's sentential calculi*, **Zeitschr. math. Log. u. Grndl. Math.** 19 (1973), pp. 239–247.

Section of Logic
Polish Academy of Sciences
Wrocław