Tomasz Furmanowski

## REMARKS ON DISCUSSIVE PROPOSITIONAL CALCULUS

This is an abstract of the paper which will be published in Studia Logica.

Jaśkowski has given a definition for system  $D_2$  by interpretation in homogeneous predicate calculus of one variable see ([1], [2]). It is well known that homogeneous predicate calculus of one variable is equivalent to the modal system  $S_2$  of Lewis. Kotas in paper [3] and da Costa in paper [4] have shown that  $D_2$  is finitely axiomatizable. In paper 4 the problem of construction discussive system based on a different modal system, for example  $S_4$ , is given.

We shall show that for every modal system M such that  $S4 \subseteq M \subseteq S5$  the discussive system D(M) based on M is equal to  $D_2$ . It does seem not trivial because  $S4 \neq S5$ .

We shall use signs  $\to$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\lor$ ,  $\land$ ,  $\sim$ , M, L for the material implication, the strict implication, for disjunction, conjunction, negation, possibility and necessity, respectively. Logical system we regard as the set of formulas. L and s(L) are the set of thesis and the set of well formed formulas for a given system L, respectively.  $P, Q, R, \ldots$  and so on are the signs for formulas and  $p, q, r, \ldots$  and so are the signs for sentential variables.

Now we define system M - S4 (see [3]).

Definition 1.

- a) s(M S4) = s(S4).
- b)  $P \in M S4$  iff  $MP \in S4$ .

Lemma 1. The following axiom schemes, rules of inferences and definitions constitute a complete axiomatization of M-S4:

I. Axiom schemes:

$$\begin{split} &1. \ L(P \rightarrow (\sim P \rightarrow Q)) \\ &2. \ L((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow [(Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)]) \\ &3. \ L[(\sim P \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P] \\ &4. \ L(LP \rightarrow P) \\ &5. \ L(L(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (LP \rightarrow LQ)) \\ &6. \ L(LP \rightarrow LLP) \end{split}$$

II. Derivation rules:

$$(r_1) \frac{LP, L(P \to Q)}{LQ} \quad (r_3) \frac{LP}{P}$$

$$(r_2) \frac{LP}{LLP}$$
  $(r_4) \frac{MP}{P}$ 

III. Definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} &1. \ P \wedge Q = \sim (\sim P \vee \sim Q) \\ &2. \ P \leftrightarrow Q = (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P) \\ &3. \ P \Rightarrow Q = L(P \rightarrow Q) \\ &4. \ MP = \sim L \sim P. \\ &5. \ P \Leftrightarrow Q = L(P \leftrightarrow Q). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Formula  $L(MP \to LMP)$  is a thesis of system M - S4.

System M-S5 arises from S5 in the same way as M-S4 from S4. It is easy to see (cf. [3]) that the sets of axioms and rules for M-S5 are the same as for M-S4 except of axiom number 6. In the system M-S5 as the sixth axiom is the formula  $L(MP \to LMP)$ . Thus from Lemmas 1, 2 we have  $M-S5 \subset M-S4$ . The converse inclusion is trivial.

Theorem 1.  $P \in M - S4$  iff  $P \in M - S5$ .

COROLLARY 1. For every modal system M such that  $S4 \subseteq M \subseteq S5$  we have:  $MP \in M$  iff  $MP \in S5$ .

Following Jaśkowski we shall give a definition for the discussive system  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  based on a modal system M.

Definition 2. Connectives determined as follows:

- a.  $P \rightarrow_d Q = MP \rightarrow Q$ ,
- b.  $P \wedge_d Q = P \wedge MQ$ ,

we shall name a discussive implication and discussive conjunction, respectively.

DEFINITION 3. By discussive system D(M) based on modal system M we mean:

- a. 1° If  $p,q,r,\ldots$  are the sentential variables then  $p,q,r,\ldots \in s(D(M))$ . 2° If  $P,Q \in s(D(M))$  then  $P \vee Q, P \wedge Q, P \rightarrow_d Q, P \wedge_d Q, \sim P \in s(D(M))$ .
  - $3^{\circ} s(D(M))$  is the smallest set satisfying the conditions  $1^{\circ}$  and  $2^{\circ}$ .
- b.  $P \in D(M)$  iff  $MP' \in M$  where P' is the formula obtained from P by elimination the symbols  $\rightarrow_d$  and  $\land_d$  according to their definitions.

In this notation  $D(S5) = D_2$ . The following theorem results from the Corollary 1 and Definition 3:

THEOREM 2. For every modal system M such that  $S4 \subseteq M \subseteq S5$ ,  $D(M) = D_2$ .

In this way there arises the problem: For what different modal system M,  $D(M) = D_2$ ?

## References

- [1] S. Jaśkowski, Rachunek zdań dla systemów dedukcyjnych sprzecznych, Studia Soc. Sci. Torunensis, vol. I, nr 5 (1948), pp. 57–77.
- [2] S. Jaśkowski, O konjunkcji dyskusyjnej w rachunku zdań dla systemów dedukcyjnych sprzecznych, Studia Soc. Sci. Torunensis, vol. I, nr 8 (1959), pp. 171–172.
- [3] J. Kotas, *The axiomatization of S. Jaśkowski discussive logic*, forthcoming in **Studia Logica**.
- [4] N. C. A. da Costa, Remarks on Jaśkowski discussive logic, Forthcoming in Reports on Mathematical Logic 4 (1975).
  - [5] Feys, Modal Logic, 1965.

36 Tomasz Furmanowski

 $[6]\,$  H. Rasiowa, R. Sikorski, The Mathematics of Metamathematics, Warszawa, 1968.

[7] J. Kotas, A. Pieczkowski, Allgemeine Logische und Mathematische Theorien, Zeitschrift Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik, Bd. 16 (1970), pp. 353–376.

Institute of Mathematics Nicholas Copernicus University Toruń