

Stephen L. Bloom

## A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR THE LATTICE OF STANDARD CONSEQUENCE OPERATIONS

This paper will be published in *Studia Logica*.

### §1. Introduction

A *sentential language*  $S$  is an algebra of finite type (i.e. a set equipped with a finite number of finitary functions) which is absolutely free in the class of all similar algebras, freely generated by a set of “(sentential) variables”. A consequence operation on  $S$  is a function  $C$  from the power set of  $S$ ,  $PS$ , into  $PS$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- i)  $X \subseteq C(X)$ , all  $X \subseteq S$ ;
- ii)  $X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow C(X) \subseteq C(Y)$ , all  $X, Y \subseteq S$ ;
- iii)  $C(C(X)) \subseteq C(X)$ , all  $X \subseteq S$ .

A consequence operation  $C$  is algebraic (or finite) if

- iv)  $C(X) = U\{C(Y) \mid Y \subseteq X, Y \text{ finite}\}$

and is *structural* if for all endomorphisms  $h$  of  $S$ ,

$$hC(X) \subseteq C(h(X)), \text{ all } X \subseteq S.$$

If  $C$  is both algebraic and structural,  $C$  is called *standard* (see [W] and [B]).

It is known that the collection  $ST$  (for “standard”) of all standard consequence operations on  $S$  forms a complete lattice when the ordering is defined by:

$$C \leqslant C' \text{ if } C(X) \subseteq C'(X), \text{ all } X \subseteq S.$$

Indeed, if  $C_i, i \in I$  is a subset of  $ST$ , then  $\bigvee(C_i, i \in I)$  is the consequence operation  $C$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in C(X) \text{ iff, for some } i_1, \dots, i_n \in I, \\ \alpha \in C_{i_1}(C_{i_2}(\dots(C_{i_n}(X))\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

In this note, a representation of the lattice  $ST$  will be given.

For any sentential language  $S$ , let  $L(S)$  be the first-order language (without equality) having one unary predicate symbol  $T$ , whose *terms* form an algebra isomorphic to  $S$ . (Thus we identify the formulas of  $S$  with the terms of  $L(S)$ ). Let  $H$  denote the collection of all sentences of  $L(S)$  which are the *universal closures* of formulas of the form

$$(*) \quad T(\tau_1) \wedge T(\tau_2) \wedge \dots \wedge T(\tau_k) \rightarrow T(\tau)$$

where  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\tau_i, \tau$  are terms. When  $k = 0$   $(*)$  becomes just  $T(\tau)$ .  $H$  is thus the collection of (strict) basic Horn sentences (see [CK]).

For a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $H$ , let  $\bar{\Gamma} = \{\sigma \in H \mid \Gamma \models \sigma\}$ , where  $\models$  is the classical logical consequence operation. It is easily seen that the collection  $HN$  (for ‘‘Horn’’) of subsets of  $H$  of the form  $\bar{\Gamma}$ ,  $\Gamma \subseteq H$ , is a complete lattice when ordered by set inclusion. Indeed, if  $\bar{\Gamma}_i, i \in I$  are in  $HN$ , then

$$\Lambda(\Gamma_i, i \in I) = \bigcap (\bar{\Gamma}_i : i \in I),$$

i.e. the meet operation in  $HN$  is just intersection.

In the next section, we will prove the following

**THEOREM.** *The lattices  $ST$  and  $HN$  are isomorphic.*

**COROLLARY.**  *$ST$  is a complete, compactly generated (‘‘algebraic’’) lattice (i.e. every element is a join of compact elements).*

Indeed, the lattice  $HN$  is algebraic, since  $\bar{\Gamma} = \bigvee(\bar{\Gamma}_f : \Gamma_f \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_f \text{ finite})$ , and the sets  $\bar{\Gamma}_f$ , with  $\Gamma_f$  finite are compact in  $HN$ .

## §2. Proof of the theorem

A matrix  $M$  is a pair  $(A, T)$  consisting of an algebra  $A$  similar to  $S$  and a subset  $T$  of  $A$  (we use the same letter for an algebra and its underlying set.)

Equivalently, a matrix is just a  $L(S)$ -structure. Any matrix  $M = (A, T)$  determines a structural consequence operation  $C_M$  on  $S$  by: for  $X \subseteq S$ ,  $\tau \in S$ ,

$\tau \in C_M(X)$  if, for any homomorphism  $h : S \rightarrow A$ ,  $h(\tau) \in T$  whenever  $h(X) \subseteq T$ .

For any consequence operation  $C$  on  $S$ , let  $K(C)$  be the class of all matrices  $M$  such that  $C \leq C_M$ .

LEMMA 1. *A class  $K$  of matrices is  $K(C)$  for some standard  $C$  iff  $K = \text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}$ , for some  $\Gamma \subseteq H$ .*

PROOF. If  $K = K(C)$ ,  $C$  standard, then by ([B], Theorem 2.6) it follows that  $K = \text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}$ , where  $\Gamma$  consists of all sentences

$$(**) \quad \forall \vec{x} [T(\tau_1) \dots T(\tau_k) \rightarrow T(\tau)]$$

such that  $\tau \in C(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ .

Conversely, if  $K = \text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}$ , define  $C$  by:

$\tau \in C(X)$  iff  $\tau \in C_M(X)$ , all  $M \in K$ . By ([B], Theorem 2.9)  $C$  is standard, and it is easily seen that  $K = K(C)$ .

REMARK. It is well-known [G] that an axiomatizable class  $K$  of matrices is  $\text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}$ , some  $\Gamma \subseteq H$  iff  $K$  is closed under arbitrary products and substructures.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose  $C_i$  are standard consequence operations on  $S$ ,  $\Gamma_i$  are subsets of  $H$  such that  $K(C_i) = \text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $C_1 \leq C_2$  iff  $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_2$ .*

PROOF. By (W], Theorem 3.1) it follows that  $C_1 \leq C_2$  iff  $K(C_2) \subseteq K(C_1)$ . The lemma thus follows easily.

From Lemmas 1, 2 it follows that the function  $C \mapsto \Gamma_C$  taking the standard consequence  $C$  to the subset  $\Gamma_C = \bar{\Gamma}_C$  of  $H$  with  $K(C) = \text{Mod}\bar{\Gamma}_C$  is a lattice isomorphism  $ST \rightarrow HN$ . Notice that the sets  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , with  $\Gamma$  finite, correspond to the “finitely based” [B] consequence operations on  $S$ , i.e. those definable from a finite number of structural rules [W]. These consequence operations are precisely the compact elements of the lattice  $ST$ .

We close with several problems. It is known that if  $M$  is a finite matrix,  $C_M$  is standard, but we do not know whether  $C_M$  is compact on  $ST$ . Further, we don't know if the meet of two compact elements is compact.

## References

- [B] S. L. Bloom, *Some theorems on Structural Consequence Operations*, *IBM Research Report RC 4620*, to appear in **Studia Logica**.
- [CK] C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler, **Model Theory**, North Holland, Amsterdam 1973.
- [G] G. Gratzner, **Universal Algebra**, Van Nostrand, Princeton 1968.
- [W] R. Wójcicki, *Matrix approach in Methodology of Sentential Calculi*, **Studia Logica** 32 (1973), pp. 7–37.

*Stevens Institute of Technology  
Hoboken, N.Y., USA.*