Giséle Fischer Servi

ON MODAL LOGICS WITH AN INTUITIONISTIC BASE (Abstract)

In [6] Prior proposed a modal calculus, MIPC, which is an extension of the intuitionist propositional calculus. This system, which I call S^5IC , turns out to be the intuitionist analogue of Lewis's S^5 in the sense that

- 1) S^5IC plus $A \vee \neg A$ is equivalent to S^5 ,
- 2) collapsing the modal operators yields Heyting's calculus.

The question as to whether S^5IC is to be considered analogous to S^5 is not completely answered by 1) and 2) in so far that they are not sufficient for singling out the "correct" S^5 -analogue. Bull however showed in [1] that S^5IC has another characteristic which definitely settles the question of analogy, i.e.

3) there is a translation map T, from S^5IC formulae into Intuitionistic predicate calculus (IPC) formulae with one variable for which

$$\vdash_{S^5IC} A \text{ iff } \vdash_{IPC} TA.$$

It is well known that 3) holds if (in both systems) we replace IC by the ordinary classical calculus. This third condition suggests that there might exist a general rule by which one could find, in correspondence to a classical modal system, its intuitionist counterpart. My purpose then is to define a general criterion for the concept of "intuitionist modal analogue" in the form of another theorem preserving translation which will be seen to be a natural generalization of Gödel's translation from IC to S^4 .

The following considerations will be helpful in formulating my proposal. Let S^5IC be the above modal extension of the intuitionist propositional calculus with the usual connectives and operators $(\lor, \land, \to, \neg, L, M)$;

let $(S^4, S^5) - C^1$ be a "bimodal" calculus with a classical base $(\vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \neg, L_1, L_2)$ and the following axioms and rules:

- (i) S^4 axioms and rules on L_1 ;
- (ii) S^5 axioms and rules on L_2 ;
- (iii) suitable axioms relating L_1 to L_2 ;

Let T be a translation map from S^5IC to $(S^4, S^5) - C$ which extends the Gödel translation for IC in the following way:

$$T(MA) = \neg L_2 \neg TA$$
$$T(LA) = L_1 L_2 TA.$$

The idea is to prove the following:

Theorem.
$$\vdash_{S^5IC} A$$
 iff $\vdash_{(S^4,S^5)-C} TA$

Now, let * be a (classical) modal calculus of some sort (the class of such calculi must satisfy suitable conditions; e.g., * must contain a full classical propositional base). Then it seems reasonable to assume the following definition for a (*-IC):

DEFINITION. The axioms for (*-IC) are those formulae whose T-translates are these of $(S^4,*)C$,

where $(S^4,*)-C$ is the obvious generalization of $(S^4,S^5)-C$. The first step in the program outlined is the study of the algebraic properties of S^5IC , $(S^4,S^5)-C$ and their relations. For this purpose I introduce the concept of *Monadic Heyting Algebras* (HM's) which are then proved to be equivalent to the *canonical models* defined in Bull [2]. The formulation in algebraic terms of the concepts and problems indicated above is then made possible and a few further results are proved.

References

[1] R. A. Bull, MIPC as the formalization of an intuitionist concept of modality, **J. S. L.** 31, 4 (1966).

 $^{^1}$ A bimodal calculus which presents some similarities with $(S^4, S^5) - C$ was studied for its own sake as a plausible interpretation of a combined alethic-temporal modality, see Fitting [4].

34 Giséle Fischer Servi

[2] R. A. Bull, A modal extension of Intuitionist Logic, N. D. J. 6, 2 (1965).

- [3] G. Fischer Servi, *Un'algebrizzazione del calcolo monadico intuizionista*, Matematiche (Catania), to appear.
 - [4] M. Fitting, Logics with several modal operators, **Theoria** 35 (1969).
 - [5] P. R. Halmos, Algebraic Logic, New York, 1962.
 - [6] A. N. Prior, **Time and Modality**, Oxford, 1957.

Via La Grola, 29 43100 Parma, Italia