

Roman Suszko

ON FILTERS AND CLOSURE SYSTEMS

(Presented to the Logic Seminar, Wrocław, 6 June 1977)

This report brings out a simple observation on the close connection of filters with algebraic closure systems. In [1], Orrin Frink gave a general definition of ideals in ordered sets. Here, we use the dual notion of filter and apply it to preordered sets.

When referring to finite sets $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ we often omit the brackets. The symbol \emptyset denotes the empty set and, $X \subseteq_f Y$ means that X is a finite subset of Y .

Frink's filters in preordered sets

Let the relation \vdash be reflexive and transitive on the nonempty set A . Then, the pair $\underline{A} = \langle A, \vdash \rangle$ is called a preordered set. For any $a, b, c \in A$ and $Z \subseteq A$, assume four definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} a \vdash\vdash b &\text{ iff } a \vdash b \text{ and } b \vdash a \\ [c] &= \{z \in A : c \vdash z\} \\ (c) &= \{z \in A : z \vdash c\} \\ Z^+ &= \bigcap \{[b] : b \in Z\} \\ Z^\circ &= \bigcap \{(a) : a \in Z\} \end{aligned}$$

All the sets $[c]$ for $c \in A$ are called principal filters of \underline{A} .

The operation $Z \mapsto Z^{\circ+}$ appears to be a closure operator on the set A . The corresponding family of closed sets, \underline{D} , is the smallest closure system on A containing all principal filters of \underline{A} . Notice that $\emptyset^{\circ+} = A^+$, $c^{\circ+} = [c]$ and, $d \in \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}^{\circ+}$ iff for all $z \in A$, $z \vdash d$ whenever $z \vdash c_1, \dots, z \vdash c_k$.

For any $Z \subseteq A$ put $\text{Inf}(Z) = Z^\circ \cap Z^{\circ+}$. Hence, $\text{Inf}(\emptyset) = A^+$ and, $d \in \text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)$ if and only if two conditions hold:

- (1) $d \vdash c_1, \dots, d \vdash c_k$
- (2) for all $z \in A$, $z \vdash d$ if $z \vdash c_1, \dots, z \vdash c_k$.

Observe that $a \vdash b$ whenever $a, b \in \text{Inf}(Z)$. Also notice that if $\text{Inf}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a, b \in A$ then for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in A$, $\text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k) \neq \emptyset$.

A set $F \subseteq A$ is called a filter of \underline{A} , $F \in \underline{F}$, if $A^+ \subseteq F$ and for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F$, $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}^{\circ+} \subseteq F$. It has been shown in [2] that the family \underline{F} of all filters of \underline{A} is the smallest algebraic closure system on A containing all principal filters. In other words, \underline{F} is exactly the family of all unions of directed families of arbitrary intersections of principal filters.

For any $Z \subseteq A$ put $\tilde{Z} = \bigcup \{Y^{\circ+} \subseteq A : Y \subseteq_f Z\}$. It is easy to see that the operation $Z \mapsto \tilde{Z}$ is the closure operator corresponding to \underline{F} . Furthermore, $\underline{D} \subseteq \underline{F}$ and $\tilde{Z} \subseteq Z^{\circ+}$ for each $Z \subseteq A$. Moreover, $\tilde{Z} = Z^{\circ+}$ whenever $Z \subseteq_f A$. In particular, $\tilde{\emptyset} = A^+$ and $\tilde{c} = [c]$ for every $c \in A$.

For any filter F of \underline{A} the following three conditions hold:

- (3) $\text{Inf}(\emptyset) \subseteq F$
- (4) $\text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k) \subseteq F$ whenever $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\} \subseteq F$
- (5) $[c] \subseteq F$ whenever $c \in F$.

However, in case $\text{Inf}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a, b \in A$, these properties characterize precisely filters of \underline{A} , i.e., (3), (4), (5) imply that F is a filter.

2. Filters in closure systems

Let \underline{C} be a closure system on $A \neq \emptyset$ and let $Z \mapsto \overline{Z}$ be the corresponding closure operator on A . Write $a \vdash b$ for $b \in \overline{a}$ whenever $a, b \in A$. Then, $\underline{A} = \langle A, \vdash \rangle$ is a preordered set, indeed. We are going to relate \underline{C} to the family \underline{F} of all filters of \underline{A} . Clearly, $\tilde{c} = \overline{c}$ for each $c \in A$ and $\tilde{\emptyset} = \bigcap \{\overline{z} \subseteq A : z \in A\}$. Also, $\tilde{\emptyset} \subseteq \overline{\emptyset}$ and $\overline{c_1, \dots, c_k} \subseteq \overline{c_1}, \dots, \overline{c_k}$ for any c_1, \dots, c_k in A . Consequently,

- (I) if \underline{C} is algebraic then $\underline{F} \subseteq \underline{C}$.

It is easy to prove the lemma:

(II) if $e \in \text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)$ then

$$c_1, \dots, c_k \in \overline{\text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = \bar{e}.$$

The question when $\underline{C} = \underline{F}$ is answered by the following equivalence:

(III) $\underline{C} = \underline{F}$ if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (1) \underline{C} is algebraic,
- (2) $\bigcap \{\bar{z} \subseteq A : z \in A\} \subseteq \bar{\emptyset}$,
- (3) for all $c_1, \dots, c_k, d \in A, d \in \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$
whenever for all $z \in A$, if $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \bar{z}$ then $d \in \bar{z}$.

For the proof, observe that (2) and (3) together mean that $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \bar{Z}$ for all $Z \subseteq_f A$. Indeed, this is a separation property (filter principle): the family of all principal filters $\bar{c}, c \in A$, separates closures of finite sets (finitely axiomatizable theories) from points (formulas).

Assume that \underline{C} is algebraic and such that $\text{Inf}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$, for all $a, b \in A$. Then,

- (IV) $\underline{C} = \underline{F}$ if and only if (4) $\text{Inf}(\emptyset) \subseteq \bar{\emptyset}$ and
(5) $\text{Inf}(a, b) \subseteq \overline{a, b}$ for all $a, b \in A$.

PROOF. One way is easy. For the other way, it is enough to show that (5) entails (3). Since $\emptyset \neq \text{Inf}(a, b) \subseteq \overline{a, b}$ for all $a, b \in A$ it follows that for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in A$, $\emptyset \neq \text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k) \subseteq \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$. To show (3), assume that for each $z \in A$, $d \in \bar{z}$ whenever $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \bar{z}$ and, suppose, $e \in \text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)$. Then, $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \overline{\text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = \bar{e}$ by the lemma (II). Hence, $d \in \bar{e}$. But $\overline{\text{Inf}(c_1, \dots, c_k)} \subseteq \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$ and, consequently, $e \in \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$. Thus, $d \in \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$.

Suppose that the set A is endowed with an operation $a, b \mapsto a \cdot b$. Then, the closure system \underline{C} is called conjunctive if $\overline{a \cdot b} = \overline{a, b}$ for all $a, b \in A$. In this case, one may easily show that the said binary operation is associative (*mod.* \vdash) and $\overline{c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_k} = \overline{c_1, \dots, c_k}$ for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in A$. Obviously, we have the lemma:

(V) if \underline{C} is conjunctive then for all $a, b \in A$,

$$a \cdot b \in \text{Inf}(a, b) \subseteq \overline{a, b}.$$

It follows that if \underline{C} is algebraic and conjunctive then

$$(VI) \quad \underline{C} = \underline{E} \text{ iff } \bigcap \{\bar{z} \subseteq A : z \in A\} \subseteq \bar{\emptyset}.$$

References

- [1] O. Frink, *Ideals in partially ordered sets*, **Amer. Math. Monthly** 61 (1954), pp. 223–234.
- [2] J. Mayer-Kalkschmidt and E. Steiner, *Some theorems in set theory and applications in the ideal theory of partially ordered sets*, **Duke Math. Journal** 31 (1964), pp. 287–289.

*The Section of Logic
Institute of Philosophy and Sociology
Polish Academy of Sciences*