

Wiesław Dziobiak

A NOTE ON INCOMPLETENESS OF MODAL LOGICS WITH RESPECT TO NEIGHBOURHOOD SEMANTICS

This is a summary of a lecture read at the Seminar of the Department of Mathematical Logic held by Professor Jerzy Kotas, Institute of Mathematics, N. Copernicus University, Toruń, June 1978.

§0. By a modal logic we understand a proper subset of the set of propositional modal formulae that contains all classical tautologies, the axiom $\Box(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\Box p \rightarrow \Box q)$ and closed under modus ponens, substitution and necessitation. In our considerations all neighbourhood frames are normal, i.e. such that neighbourhoods of each point constitute a filter. For a neighbourhood frame $\underline{F} = (\underline{U}, N)$, by \underline{F}^+ we denote the algebra $(P(\underline{U}), \cup, \cap, \neg, \Box_N, 0, 1)$; where $(P(\underline{U}), \cup, \cap, \neg, 0, 1)$ is the well known Boolean algebra and \Box_N is a unary operation defined as follows: $\Box_N(S) = \{x \in \underline{U} \mid S \in N(x)\}$ for every $S \subseteq \underline{U}$. We know that $E(\underline{F}) = E(\underline{F}^+)$, where $E(\underline{F})$ ($E(\underline{F}^+)$) is the set of all formulae which are valid in \underline{F} (\underline{F}^+). Following Fine [3], for a modal logic L , we put $\delta^*(L) = \text{card}\{L' \mid L' \text{ is a modal logic such that for every neighbourhood frame } \underline{F}, L' \subseteq E(\underline{F}) \text{ iff } L \subseteq E(\underline{F})\}$. Our aim is to prove the following theorem which is a counterpart of Blok's one (see [1], also [2]).

THEOREM 1. *For any modal logic L :*

- i) *if $\Box p \rightarrow \Diamond p \in L$ and $\Box^n p \rightarrow \Box^{n+1} p \in L$ for some $n \geq 0$, then $\delta^*(L) = 2^{\aleph_0}$*
- ii) *if $p \rightarrow p \in L$, then $\delta^*(L) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.*

§1. In order to prove the first part of Theorem 1 let us take into consideration the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n,k} &= (p \wedge \Diamond^{2n} q) \rightarrow (\Diamond^n q \vee \Diamond^{2n} (q \wedge \Diamond^{k(n+1)} p)); \quad n \geq 1, k \geq 1 \\
\beta_n &= (\Box^n p \wedge \sim \Box^{n+1} p \wedge \sim \Box^{2n+1} p) \rightarrow \Diamond^n (\Box^{2n+1} p \wedge \sim \Box^{2n+2} p \wedge \sim \Box^{3n+2} p), \\
&\quad n \geq 1 \\
\gamma_n &= (\Box^n p \wedge \sim \Box^{n+1} p \wedge \sim \Box^{2n+1} p) \rightarrow \sim (r \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+4} \Box^n (q_i \rightarrow r) \wedge \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+4} \Box^n (r \rightarrow \Diamond^n q_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n+4} \Box^n \sim (q_i \wedge q_j)), \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

These formulae can be found in Thomason [5].

LEMMA 1. *For any $n \geq 1$ and every neighbourhood frame \underline{F} :*

if $\alpha_{n,k} (k \geq 1) \beta_n, \gamma_n \in E(\underline{F})$, then

$$\Box^n p \rightarrow (\Box^{n+1} p \vee \Box^{2n+1} p) \in E(\underline{F}).$$

In the proof the ideas from Gerson [4] are used. Let us recall Blok's definition of a family of modal algebras $A_{\underline{m}}$ (cf. [1]). $A_{\underline{m}}$ is a modal algebra of finite and cofinite subsets of the set of natural numbers N . The operation $\Box_{\underline{m}}$ in $A_{\underline{m}}$ corresponding to a connective \Box is defined as follows: for $M \subseteq N$

$$\Box_{\underline{m}} M = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } M \text{ is finite} \\ [m_{i+1}, \infty), & \text{if } N \neq M \text{ is cofinite and } i = \min\{j \mid [m_j, \infty) \subseteq M\} \\ N, & \text{if } M = N \end{cases}$$

where $\underline{m} = (m_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of natural numbers satisfying $m_1 = 3$, $m_2 = 4$, $m_{i+1} > m_i$ and $m_{i+1} - m_i \leq 2$, for $i \geq 1$.

LEMMA 2. *For each algebra $A_{\underline{m}}$*

$$\alpha_{n,k}, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in E(A_{\underline{m}}) \quad (n \geq 1, k \geq 1).$$

For any class \underline{K} of algebras, $V(\underline{K})$ denotes the smallest variety that contains \underline{K} , and $V(\underline{K})_{SI}$ is the class of all subdirectly irreducible members of $V(\underline{K})$. The next lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 in Blok [1].

LEMMA 3. *For every algebra $A_{\underline{m}}$ and natural number $n \geq 1$:*

$$\text{if } \underline{B} \in V(A_{\underline{m}})_{SI} \text{ and } \Box^n p \rightarrow (\Box^{n+1} p \vee \Box^{2n+1} p) \in E(\underline{B}), \text{ then } \underline{B} \cong \underline{2}.$$

Let L be a modal logic. By \underline{K}_L we denote the variety of modal algebras corresponding with L . If $\Box p \rightarrow \Diamond p \in L$, then $\underline{2} \in \underline{K}_L$. Hence, from the Birkhoff theorem and the above lemmas we obtain:

COROLLARY 1. *Let L be a modal logic such that $\Box p \rightarrow \Diamond p \in L$ and for some $n \geq 1$ the formulas $\alpha_{n,k}$ ($k \geq 1$), β_n and γ_n are theses of L . Then, for every algebra $A_{\underline{m}}$ and neighbourhood frame F , $\underline{F}^+ \in V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{A_{\underline{m}}\})$ iff $\underline{F}^+ \in \underline{K}_L$.*

Let us suppose $\Box p \rightarrow \Diamond p \in L$ and $\Box^n p \rightarrow \Box^{n+1} p \in L$, for some $n \geq 0$. Blok [1] has proved that $V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{A_{\underline{m}}\}) \neq V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{A_{\underline{n}}\})$, for every $\underline{m} \neq \underline{n}$. But L contains also the formulae $\alpha_{n+1,k}$ ($k \geq 1$), β_{n+1} , and γ_{n+1} , and so, by Corollary 1, we receive $\delta^*(L) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

§2. Now, similarly, we prove the second part of Theorem 1. Therefore take the following formulae:

$$\alpha_{n,k} = (p \wedge \Diamond^4 q) \rightarrow ((\Box^{n+1} r \rightarrow \Box^n r) \vee \Diamond^2 q \vee \Diamond^4 (q \wedge \Diamond^k p));$$

$$n \geq 0, k \geq 3$$

$$\beta = (\Box p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \Diamond^2 (\Box^2 p \wedge \sim \Box p)$$

$$\gamma = (\Box p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \sim (r \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \Box^2 (r \rightarrow \Diamond^2 q_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \Box^2 (q_i \rightarrow r) \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 5} \Box^2 \sim (q_i \wedge q_j))$$

These formulae will play a similar role to that in the previous section. Indeed, for them we have (cf. Lemma 1).

LEMMA 4. *For a neighbourhood frame \underline{F} :*

if $\alpha_{n,k}$ ($n \geq 0, k \geq 3$), $\beta, \gamma \in E(\underline{F})$, then $\Box p \rightarrow p \in E(\underline{F})$.

Let b_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, be arbitrary but fixed elements not belonging to the set of natural numbers N , and let $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a one-to-one sequence of such elements. For any sequence $\underline{m} = (m_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of natural numbers such that $m_1 = 2$, $m_i < m_{i+1}$ and $m_{i+1} - m_i \leq 2$ ($i \geq 1$), let us put $W_{\underline{m}} = N \cup \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5\} \cup \{a_n | n \in \underline{m}\}$ and $R_{\underline{m}} = \{(b_i, b_i) | i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\} \cup \{(b_i, b_{i+1}), (b_{i+1}, b_i) | i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\} \cup \{(b_i, 1) | i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\} \cup \{(1, b_4), (b_1, b_5), (b_5, b_4), (b_1, b_4), (1, 1)\} \cup \{(n, m) | n < m\} \cup \{(n+1, n) | n \geq 1\} \cup \{(n, a_m) | n \leq m \wedge m \in \underline{m}\} \cup \{(a_n, n), (a_n, a_n) | n \in \underline{m}\}$. Given $(W_{\underline{m}}, R_{\underline{m}})$,

let $B_{\underline{m}}$ denote the modal algebra of finite and cofinite subsets of $W_{\underline{m}}$ in which the operation $\Box_{\underline{m}}$ corresponding to the connective \Box is defined with the aid of $R_{\underline{m}}$, i.e. $\Box_{\underline{m}}(S) = \{x \in W_{\underline{m}} \mid \forall y (xR_{\underline{m}}y \Rightarrow y \in S)\}$.

LEMMA 5. *For each algebra $B_{\underline{m}}$*

- i) $\alpha_{n,k}, \beta, \gamma \in E(B_{\underline{m}})$ ($n \geq 0, k \geq 3$)
- ii) if $\underline{B} \in V(B_{\underline{m}})_{SI}$ and $\Box p \rightarrow p \in E(\underline{B})$, then $\underline{B} \cong \underline{2}$.

Lemma 4 and 5 allow us to obtain the following

COROLLARY 2. *Let L be a modal logic such that $\Box p \rightarrow p \in L$. Then, for every algebras $B_{\underline{m}}$ and neighbourhood frame \underline{F} , $\underline{F}^+ \in V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{B_{\underline{m}}\})$ iff $\underline{F}^+ \in \underline{K}_L$.*

Each of the algebras $B_{\underline{m}}$ is subdirectly irreducible and $\Box p \rightarrow p \notin E(B_{\underline{m}})$. Applying the method due to Blok [1] one can prove.

LEMMA 6. *For a modal logic L :*

if $\Box p \rightarrow p \in L$ then $V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{B_{\underline{m}}\}) \neq V(\underline{K}_L \cup \{B_{\underline{n}}\})$ for every $\underline{m} \neq \underline{n}$.

Corollary 2 and Lemma 6 yield the second part of Theorem 1.

§3. We say that a modal logic L is complete with respect to neighbourhood semantics iff $L = \bigcap \{E(\underline{F}^+) \mid \underline{F}^+ \in \underline{K}_L\}$. We can neither prove nor disprove the following statement (comp. Lemma 4.1 [1]): L is complete with respect to neighbourhood semantics iff $\underline{K}_L = V(\{\underline{F}^+ \in \underline{K}_L \mid \underline{F}^+ \text{ is subdirectly irreducible}\})$. If it were proved true, then Theorem 1 would follow immediately from Blok [1].

References

- [1] W. J. Blok, **The lattice of modal logics an algebraic investigation**, preprint, University of Amsterdam, 1977.
- [2] W. J. Blok, *The lattice of modal logics*, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic**, Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, vol. 6, no. 3 (1977), pp. 112–115.
- [3] K. Fine, *An incomplete logic containing S4*, **Theoria** 60 (1974), pp. 23–29.

[4] M. Gerson, *The inadequacy of the neighbourhood semantics for modal logic*, **The Journal of Symbolic Logic** 40, no. 2 (1975), pp. 141–148.

[5] S. K. Thomason, *An incompleteness theorem in modal logic*, **Theoria** 60 (1974), pp. 30–34.

*Institute of Mathematics
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Toruń, Poland*