

Martin Tabakov

## A FORMAL SYSTEM WITHOUT WELL-FORMED FORMULAS

This is an abstract of the paper submitted to “Problems of Logik”.  
V.VII. Sofia.

The notion of a well-formed formula (*wff*) is not central to a formal axiomatic system (*FAS*), because one constructs a system with the view to specify and isolate the theorems. We shall present a formal system *T* of propositional calculus that works without the concept of a *wff*.

§1. We first adopt a *FAS L* of propositional calculus which will be proved to be equivalent to our new system *T*.

The system *L*

- a) Vocabulary of *L*:  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, (, ), \neg, \rightarrow$
- b) Formation rules:
  - b.1)  $a_i$  is a *wff*
  - b.2) if  $p$  is *wff* so is  $(\neg p)$
  - b.3) if  $p$  and  $q$  are *wffs* so is  $(p \rightarrow q)$
  - b.4)  $p$  is a *wff* if and only if it can be constructed by a finite number of applications of the above rules.
- c) Axioms: If  $p, q, r$ , are *wffs*, the following *wffs* are axioms:
  - $A1(p, q) \leq (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p))$
  - $A2(p, q, r) \leq ((p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)))$
  - $A3(p) \leq ((\neg(\neg p)) \rightarrow p)$
  - $A4(p) \leq (p \rightarrow (\neg(\neg p)))$
  - $A5(p, q) \leq ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((\neg p) \rightarrow (\neg p)))$
- d) Rules of inference – Modus ponens

It is easily proved that *L* is equivalent to system *P* in [1], II, §27.

We propose a system  $T$  which is constructed as follows:

- a) The primitive symbols of the vocabulary are identical with the primitive symbols of  $L$ .  
Any finite sequence of symbols is called a string.  
Strings will be designated by:  $x, y, z \dots$ .  
A short notation for  $(x \rightarrow x)$  will be  $\bar{x}$  for any string  $x$ .
- b) Axioms: the string  $\bar{a}_i$  is an axiom for every  $i$
- c) Rules of inference:
  - P1.  $x, (x \rightarrow y) \vdash y$
  - P2.  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \vdash A1(x, y)$
  - P3.  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \vdash A2(x, y, z)$
  - P4.  $\bar{x} \vdash A4(x)$
  - P5.  $\bar{x} \vdash A3(x)$
  - P6.  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \vdash A5(x, y)$
 where  $A1, A2, A3, A4, A5$  are axioms of  $L$  according to 1.c).

§2. We will prove that  $T$  is equivalent to  $L$

LEMMA 1. *If  $p$  and  $q$  are wffs and  $x$  is a string in which the number of left parentheses is equal to the number of right parentheses and if  $(p \rightarrow q) \leq (x \rightarrow y)$ , then  $p \leq_{sgtr} x; q \leq y$ .*

LEMMA 2. *If  $(p \rightarrow p)$  is a wff, then  $p$  is a wff.*

LEMMA 3. *If  $(p \rightarrow q)$  is a wff and  $p$  is a wff, then  $q$  is a wff.*

LEMMA 4. *If  $x$  is a string of  $T$ , then  $T, \bar{x} \vdash \overline{(\neg x)}$ .*

LEMMA 5. *If  $x$  and  $y$  are strings of  $T$ , then  $T, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \vdash \overline{(x \rightarrow y)}$ .*

LEMMA 6. *If  $p$  is a wff, then  $T \vdash \bar{p}$ .*

It is proved by induction. Here we use Lemma 4 and Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. *If  $L \vdash p$ , then  $T \vdash p$ .*

It is proved by induction using Lemma 6.

LEMMA 8. *If  $T \vdash x$ , then  $L \vdash x$ .*

Here we use Lemmas 2 and 3.

From Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 we obtain

THEOREM:  $L \vdash p$  iff, when  $T \vdash p$ .

It should be pointed out that the vocabulary of system  $T$  contains no additional symbols, i.e. it is identical with the vocabulary of  $L$ .

## References

- [1] A. Church, **Introduction to mathematical logic**, Princeton, 1956.

*Section of Logic  
Institute of Philosophy  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences*