Wiesław Dziobiak

## THE DEGREES OF MAXIMALITY OF THE INTUITIONISTIC PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC AND OF SOME OF ITS FRAGMENTS

The paper was presented on April 12 at Professor Wójcicki's seminar on propositional calculi. An extended version of this note will be submitted to Studia Logica.

Professor Ryszard Wójcicki once asked whether the degree of maximality of the consequence operation C determined by the theorems of the intuitionistic propositional logic and the detachment rule for the implication connective is equal to  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ ? The aim of the paper is to give the affirmative answer to the question. More exactly, it is proved here that the degree of maximality of  $C^{\Psi}$ -the  $\Psi$ -fragment of C, is equal to  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ , for every  $\Psi \subseteq \{\to, \land, \lor, \neg\}$  such that  $\to \in \Psi$ .

¿From now an  $\Psi$  stands for a subset of  $\{\to, \land, \lor, \neg\}$  such that  $\to \in \Psi$ ,  $\underline{F}^{\Psi} = (F^{\Psi}, \Psi)$  for the absolutely free algebra determined by  $\Psi$  via infinite but denumerable set V of variables, and  $C^{\Psi}$  denotes the consequence operation in  $\underline{F}^{\Psi}$  (see [4]) determined by the detachment rule for the implication connective together with all rules of the form  $r(\langle \emptyset, \alpha \rangle)$  where  $\alpha$  ranges over all theorems of the intuitionistic propositional logic which contain only connectives from the set  $\Psi$ . For  $\Psi = \{\to, \land, \lor, \neg\}$  instead of  $C^{\Psi}$  we write C. Observe that the Waisberg separation theorem yields:  $C^{\Psi}(X) = C(X) \cap F^{\Psi}$  for all  $X \subseteq F^{\Psi}$ .

Let  $(\underline{A}, D)$  be a logical matrix (see [4]) similar to  $\underline{F}^{\Psi}$ , i.e. the algebra  $\underline{A}$  is similar to  $\underline{F}^{\Psi}$ , such that  $C^{\Psi} \leqslant C_{(\underline{A},D)}$ . Set  $a \equiv_D b$  iff  $a \to_{\underline{A}} b$ ,  $b \to_{\underline{A}} a \in D$  for all elements a, b of  $\underline{A}$ . The relation  $\underline{\equiv}_D$  is a congruence on  $\underline{A}$ . Moreover,  $C_{(\underline{A},D)} = C_{(\underline{A}/\underline{\equiv}_D, \{D\})}$ . Since the element  $\{D\}$  is definable in

 $\underline{A}/_{\equiv_D}$  by the formula  $x \to x, \, x \in V$ , instead of  $C_{(\underline{A}/_{\equiv_D},\{D\})}$  we will always write  $C_{\underline{A}/_{\equiv_D}}$ , and  $\{D\}$  will be denoted by  $1_{\underline{A}/_{\equiv_D}}$ .

Put  $\mathbb{K}^{\Psi} = \{\underline{A}/_{\equiv_D}; C^{\Psi} \leqslant C_{(\underline{A},D)} \text{ and } \underline{A} \text{ is similar to } \underline{F}^{\Psi} \}$ . The domain of each algebra  $\underline{A}$  from the class  $\mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$  is partially ordered by the relation  $\leqslant_{\underline{A}}$  defined as follows:  $a \leqslant_{\underline{A}} b$  iff  $a \to_{\underline{A}} b = 1_{\underline{A}}$  for all elements a, b of  $\underline{A}$ . Furthermore, the element  $1_{\underline{A}}$  is the greatest element in  $\underline{A}$  under the relation.

By the  $\underline{A} \oplus$ , where  $\underline{A}$  is a member of  $\mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$ , we denote the algebra resulting from application of the well known Jaśkowski's  $\Gamma$ -operation (see [1]) to  $\underline{A}$ . Observe that  $\underline{A} \oplus$  belongs to  $\mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$  whenever  $\underline{A}$  does.

Now let,  $\mathbb{K}_0^{\Psi}$  be the subclass of  $\mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$  consisting of all denumerable algebras of the form  $\underline{A} \oplus$ . It is clear that in each set  $A \setminus \{1_{\underline{A}}\}, \underline{A} \in \mathbb{K}_0^{\Psi}$ , there is a greatest element under  $\leq_A$  – this element will be denoted by  $\star_A$ .

a greatest element under  $\leq_{\underline{A}}$  – this element will be denoted by  $\star_{\underline{A}}$ . For each member  $\underline{A}$  of  $\mathbb{K}_0^{\Psi}$  fix some one-to-one mapping which sends each element a of  $\underline{A}$  into some propositional variable  $Z_a$  of V and put

$$DS^{\Psi}(\underline{A}) = \{(Z_a \otimes Z_b) \to Z_{a \otimes_{\underline{A}} b}; \otimes \in \Psi \setminus \{\neg\}, a, b \in A\} \\ \cup \{Z_{a \otimes_{\underline{A}} b} \to (Z_a \otimes Z_b); \otimes \in \Psi \setminus \{\neg\}, a, b \in A\} \\ \cup \{\otimes Z_a \to Z_{\otimes_{\underline{A}} a}; \otimes \in \Psi \cap \{\neg\}, \ a \in A\} \\ \cup \{Z_{\otimes_{\underline{A}} a} \to \otimes Z_a; \otimes \in \Psi \cap \{\neg\}, \ a \in A\}.$$

LEMMA 1 (COMP. A. WROŃSKI [6]). Let  $\underline{A} \in \mathbb{K}_0^{\Psi}$  and  $\underline{B} \in \mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\underline{A}$  is embedded in  $\underline{B}$
- (ii)  $Z_{\star_{\underline{A}}} \notin C_{\underline{B}}(DS^{\Psi}(\underline{A})).$

As  $\alpha_n \in F^{\{\to\}}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , take the formulae considered by A. Wroński in [7] and put  $L(I) = C(Sb(\{\alpha_n; n \in I\}))$  for each  $I \subseteq \omega$  (see [7]), where Sb(X) denotes the closure of X under all substitutions in  $F^{\{\to, \land, \lor, \lnot\}}$ .

Sb(X) denotes the closure of X under all substitutions in  $F^{\{\rightarrow,\land,\lor,\lnot\}}$ . Let  $I\subseteq\omega$ , and  $\alpha,\beta\in F^{\Psi}$ . Set  $\alpha\equiv_I\beta$  iff  $\alpha\to\beta,\beta\to\alpha\in L(I)\cap F^{\Psi}$ . It turns out that the relation just defined is a congruence on  $\underline{F}^{\Psi}=(F^{\Psi},\Psi)$ . Moreover,  $\underline{F}^{\Psi}/_{\equiv_I}\in\mathbb{K}^{\Psi}$ .

Let  $\Re$  be some family of subsets of  $\omega$  such that (i) the cardinality of  $\Re$  is equal to  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , and (ii) if  $I, J \in \Re$  and  $I \neq J$ , then neither  $I \subseteq J$  nor  $J \subseteq I$ .

LEMMA 2. For all different  $I, J \in \Re$  the algebras  $(\underline{F}^{\Psi}/_{\equiv I}) \oplus$  and  $(\underline{F}^{\Psi}/_{\equiv J}) \oplus$  are not embeddable into each other.

138 Wiesław Dziobiak

Let  $C_F\Psi$  denote the set of all structural consequence operations in  $F^{\Psi}$ .  $(C_F\Psi, \leqslant)$  is a complete lattice (see [4]). Let  $Cn^{\Psi}$  denote the least upper bound in  $(C_F\Psi, \leqslant)$  of the set  $\{C^+ \in C_F\Psi; C^{\Psi} \leqslant C^+ \text{ and } C^{\Psi}(\emptyset) = C^+(\emptyset)\}$ . By Makinson's result (see [2]),  $Cn^{\Psi}$  is structural complete in infinitary sense – the notion was originally introduced by W. A Pogorzelski in [3].

Theorem.  $card\{C^+ \in \mathcal{C}_F \Psi; C^\Psi \leqslant C^+ \leqslant Cn^\Psi\} = 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}.$ 

PROOF. The part " $\leqslant$ " is obvious. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}C^{\Psi}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , the least upper bound in  $(\mathcal{C}_F\Psi,\leqslant)$  of the set  $\{C^{\Psi}\}\cup\{C_{\{r(\langle DS^{\Psi}((\underline{F}^{\Psi}/_{\equiv_I}\oplus),Z_{\star_{\underline{F}\Psi/\equiv_I})\oplus}\rangle)\}};I\in\mathcal{H}\}.$ 

Applying Wajsberg's separation theorem, the finite approximability of  $C(\emptyset)$ , and Lemmas 1 and 2, one can show that the family of consequence operations just defined makes the part " $\geqslant$ " hold true.

Corollary.  $dmC^{\Psi} = 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ .

## References

- [1] S. Jaśkowski, Recherches sur le systéme de la logigique intuitionistic, Actualities Sci. industrielles 393 (1936), pp. 58–61.
- [2] D. Makinson, A characterization of structural completeness of a structural consequence operation, Reports on Matyematical Logic 6 (1976), pp. 99–102.
- [3] W. A. Pogorzelski, Structural completeness of the propositional calculus, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Série Math., Astrom., Phys. 19 (1971), pp. 349–351.
- [4] R. Wójcicki, Matrix approach in methodology of sentential calculi, Studia Logica 32 (1973), pp. 7–37.
- [5] R. Wójcicki, The logics stronger than three-valued sentential calculus. The notion of degree of maximality versus the notion of degree of completeness, **Studia Logica** 33, no. 2 (1974), pp. 201–214.
- [6] A. Wroński, On cardinalities of matrices strongly adequate for the intuitionistic proposition logic, Reports on Mathematical Logic 3 (1974), pp. 67–72.

[7] A. Wroński, The degree of completeness of some fragments of Mathematical Logic 2 (1974), pp. 55–62.

Institute of Mathematics Nicholas Copernicus University Toruń, Poland