

Małgorzata Bielecka-Hoła

INDEPENDENT BASIS FOR THE CONSEQUENCE DETERMINED BY NON-DEGENERATED DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

The following rules were proved in [1] to form a basis for the consequence operation C_L defined by non-generated distributive lattices in the propositional language, with two binary connectives, \vee and \wedge . (Any non-empty set of such lattices determines the same consequence).

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. $\frac{x, y}{x \wedge y}$ | 7. $\frac{x \vee (y \wedge z)}{(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)}$ |
| 2. $\frac{x \wedge y}{x}$ | 8. $\frac{(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)}{x \vee (y \wedge z)}$ |
| 3. $\frac{x \wedge y}{y \wedge x}$ | 9. $\frac{x \vee z}{x}$ |
| 4. $\frac{x}{x \vee y}$ | 10. $\frac{x \vee (x \vee y)}{x \vee y}$ |
| 5. $\frac{x \vee y}{y \vee x}$ | 11. $\frac{(x \vee y) \vee z}{x \vee (y \vee z)}$ |
| 6. $\frac{x \vee (y \vee z)}{(x \vee y) \vee z}$ | 12. $\frac{x \wedge (y \vee z)}{(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)}$ |

The purpose of this note is to show that: (a) rules 10-12 are redundant since they are derivable from the others, (b) 1-9 form an independent basis for C .

10 :	$x \vee (x \vee y)$, by 5
	$(x \vee y) \vee x$, by 4
	$(x \vee y) \vee x \vee y$, by 5
	$y \vee ((x \vee y) \vee x)$, by 6
	$(y \vee (x \vee y)) \vee x$, by 5
	$x \vee (y \vee (x \vee y))$, by 6
	$(x \vee y) \vee (x \vee y)$, by 9
	$x \vee y$	

$$\begin{array}{ll}
11 : & \frac{(x \vee y) \vee z}{z \vee (x \vee y)} \quad , \text{ by 5} \\
& \frac{(z \vee x) \vee y}{y \vee (z \vee x)} \quad , \text{ by 6} \\
& \frac{(y \vee z) \vee x}{x \vee (y \vee z)} \quad , \text{ by 5} \\
12 : & \frac{x \wedge (y \vee z)}{x, y \vee z} \quad , \text{ by 2,3} \\
& \frac{x \vee z, y \vee z, x \vee (x \wedge y)}{z \vee x, z \vee y, x \vee (x \wedge y)} \quad , \text{ by 4} \\
& \frac{z \vee x, z \vee y, x \vee (x \wedge y)}{(z \vee x) \wedge (z \vee y), x \vee (x \wedge y)} \quad , \text{ by 5} \\
& \frac{(z \vee x) \wedge (z \vee y), x \vee (x \wedge y)}{z \vee (x \wedge y), x \vee (x \wedge y)} \quad , \text{ by 1} \\
& \frac{z \vee (x \wedge y), x \vee (x \wedge y)}{(x \wedge y) \vee z, (x \wedge y) \vee x} \quad , \text{ by 8} \\
& \frac{(x \wedge y) \vee z, (x \wedge y) \vee x}{((x \wedge y) \vee z) \wedge ((x \wedge y) \vee x)} \quad , \text{ by 5} \\
& \frac{((x \wedge y) \vee z) \wedge ((x \wedge y) \vee x)}{((x \wedge y) \vee x) \wedge ((x \wedge y) \vee z)} \quad , \text{ by 1} \\
& \frac{((x \wedge y) \vee x) \wedge ((x \wedge y) \vee z)}{(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)} \quad , \text{ by 3} \\
& \quad , \text{ by 8}
\end{array}$$

Now we shall prove that the rules 1-9 are independent. (We say that rule r is independent of the set of rules R if and only if there is a set of formulas $X \cup \{x\}$ such that $(X, x) \in r$ and the formula x cannot be obtained from the set X using the rules in R).

Notice that the consequence C determined by rules 2-9 is such that for every set of formulas X

$$(1) \quad C(X) = \bigcup \{C(x) : x \in X\},$$

Observe also that there are formulas x, y such that

$$(2) \quad x \wedge y \notin C_L(x) \cup C_L(y).$$

By (1) and (2) rule 1 is independent of 2-9.

For the proof of independence of the remaining rules, we use the well-known matrix method.

Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{10} be operations defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} f_1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad
\begin{array}{c|cc} f_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad
\begin{array}{c|cc} f_3 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad
\begin{array}{c|cc} f_4 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

f_5	0	1	2	3
0	0	3	0	3
1	3	1	2	3
2	0	0	2	3
3	3	3	3	3

f_6	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	2	0
1	2	1	2	1
2	2	2	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

f_7	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
1	2	1	2
2	2	2	2

f_8	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
2	0	1	2

f_9	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	1	3	3
2	2	3	2	3
3	3	3	3	3

f_{10}	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0
2	0	0	2	0
3	0	1	2	3

Consider the table:

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
\vee	f_1	f_1	f_4	f_3	f_5	f_7	f_9	f_2
\wedge	f_1	f_3	f_4	f_4	f_6	f_8	f_{10}	f_4
x	0	1	1	1	2	1	2	0
y	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
z	—	—	—	—	0	0	3	—

It indicates the interpretation of \vee and \wedge and the valuations which show independence of rules 2-9 of the remaining ones.

References

- [1] K. Dyrda T. Prucnal, *On finitely based consequence determined by a distributive lattices*, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic**, volume 9.

Institute of Mathematics
M. Curie-Skłodowska University
Lublin