

Michael A. McRobbie
Paul B. Thistlewaite
Robert K. Meyer

A MECHANIZED DECISION PROCEDURE FOR NON-CLASSICAL LOGICS: THE PROGRAM KRIPKE (ABSTRACT)

The relevant logics E , R and NR are given Hilbert-style axiomatizations and are studied in detail in [1]. By dropping the axioms governing the extensional connectives \wedge and \vee from the axiomatizations of these logics we obtain their implication/negation fragments – respectively the system E_{\supset} , R_{\supset} and NR_{\supset} . By adding axioms for the intensional connectives fusion \circ and fission $+$ to NR_{\supset} , we obtain the pure intensional fragments of the systems R and NR – called in [4] R_i and NR_i respectively. By dropping from R just the axiom that governs the distributional properties of \wedge and \vee , i.e. $A \wedge (B \vee C) \rightarrow (A \wedge B) \vee C$, we obtain the system called OR in [10] and studied in this book.

A theorem was announced in [3] which was extended in [2], [5] and [7] to show that the relevant logics E_{\supset} , R_i , NR_i and OR and the modal $S4$ are decidable. This theorem was shown in [8] to be equivalent to the number-theoretic theorem was shown in [8] to be equivalent to the number-theoretic theorem known as Dickson’s theorem (for details of which see [9]). Given any formula A (in the appropriate vocabulary) the decision procedure for each of these logics describes a way of recursively constructing out of A a *proof-search* which will contain as a sub-tree a proof of A if there is one and which, it is proved will always be finite. However in practice this decision procedure tends to be impossible to use due to the exponential rate at which the proof search tree for A usually grows. Hence in [4] the question of whether this decision procedure could be mechanized *via* computer was asked.

In this paper we describe a PASCAL computer program called KRIPKE which mechanizes the Kripke decision procedure for these logics as they are formulated in [5]. KRIPKE achieves this mechanization by actually recursively constructing depth-first the proof search tree for A . Space-time efficiency is achieved firstly by means of a *pre-analysis* of A which makes for optimal internal program code and secondly by employing, during tree construction, a series of *filters* at each node in the proof search tree. These filters, by utilizing certain properties that these logics possess, terminate tree construction at certain nodes at which it would not otherwise have been terminated. Some of these properties, e.g. the use of a number of facts concerning the occurrences of positive and negative formulas in theorems of these logics and the use of a set of logical matrices based on a lattice known as the crystal lattice are not new and rely on theorems that can be found in either [1] or [10]. Some of the other properties of these logics utilized as filters in KRIPKE are new and are described in this paper. KRIPKE is an interactive program. A candidate formula A is simply input at a terminal and KRIPKE then lists the systems in which A is provable or unprovable and outputs a proof of A (if there is one) that is a proof of A in one of the logics formulated as in [5]. We have excellent speeds with KRIPKE – a search for a proof of A usually taking only a few c.p.u. seconds.

References

- [1] A. R. Anderson and N. D. Belnap Jr., **Entailment: The Logic of Relevance and Necessity**, Vol. 1, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1975.
- [2] N. D. Belnap Jr. and J. R. Wallace, *A Decision Procedure for the System E of Entailment with Negation*, **Zeitschrift für mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik**, 11 (1965), pp. 277–289.
- [3] S. A. Kripke, *The Problem of Entailment* (Abstract), **Journal of Symbolic Logic** 24 (1959), p. 324.
- [4] M. A. McRobbie, **A Proof Theoretic Investigation of Relevant and Modal Logics**, Doctoral Dissertation, Australian National University, Canberra, 1979.

- [5] M. A. McRobbie and R. K. Meyer, *Tableau Theoretic Decision Procedures for Relevant Logics*, in [6].
- [6] M. A. McRobbie, R. K. Meyer and P. B. Thistlewaite, **Investigations into the Decision Problem for Relevant Logics**, in preparation.
- [7] R. K. Meyer, **Topics in Modal and Many-Valued Logics**, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1966.
- [8] R. K. Meyer, *Improved Decision Procedures for Pure Relevant Logics*, in [6].
- [9] L. Rédei, **The Theory of Finitely Generated Commutative Semigroups**, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
- [10] R. Routley and R. K. Meyer, *Relevant Logics and Their Rivals*, **Monograph Series** No. 4, Department of Philosophy, R. S. S. S., Australian National University, forthcoming.

La Trobe University (McRobbie and Thistlewaite)
Australian National University (Meyer)