

Marek Pałasiński

AN EXAMPLE OF THE COMMUTATIVE *BCK*-ALGEBRA

A. Romanowska and T. Traczyk posed the following problem (see [1] Problem 1):

Does there exist a commutative *BCK*-chain that is not subdirectly irreducible?

In this note we shall solve the above problem in the affirmative by constructing a linearly ordered commutative *BCK*-algebra which is not subdirectly irreducible. For the definition and properties of *BCK*-algebras we refer the reader to [2] and [3]. We will make use of an ultraproduct construction. For details concerning this concept see [4].

It was shown in [3] that the algebra $X = \langle \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, *, 0 \rangle$ where $*$ is defined by $x*y = \max\{0, x-y\}$ is a commutative *BCK*-algebra. Let F be an ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} generated by cofinite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Let us consider an ultrapower $X^{\mathbb{N}}/F$ of X and denote it by X_F . We will denote elements of X_F by \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \dots . It is easy to see that X_F is an elementary extension of X and so we have an elementary embedding $f : X \rightarrow X_F$. Every \bar{x} such that for some $x \in X$, $\bar{x} = f(x)$ will be called a real number. Clearly X_F is a commutative *BCK*-algebra linearly ordered by relation \leq_F . Let us denote the binary operation and the zero element of the algebra X_F by $*_F$ and 0_F , respectively. We have the following definition (see [4]).

DEFINITION. A non-zero element $\bar{y} \in X_F$ is called infinitesimal iff for every non-zero real number $\bar{x} \in X_F$, $\bar{y} \leq_F \bar{x}$.

It is easily seen that the set of all infinitesimal elements is not empty.

Let us recall the following Lemma:

LEMMA 1. Let $Y = \langle Y, *, 0 \rangle$ be a *BCK*-algebra. An element $y \in Y$ belongs

to an ideal generated by x iff for some natural number k

$$(\dots(y * x) * \dots) * x = 0$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{k\text{-times}}$

To prove that the algebra X_F is not subdirectly irreducible it is sufficient to show the following:

LEMMA 2. *For every infinitesimal $\bar{x} \in X_F$ there exists a nontrivial ideal I such that $\bar{x} \notin I$.*

PROOF. Let \bar{x} be an infinitesimal element determined by a sequence (x_0, x_1, \dots) . Then for any $x \in (0, 1]$ $\{i : 0 < x_i \leq x\} \in F$. Let \bar{x} be determined by a sequence $(x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_n, \dots) = (x_0, x_1, \frac{1}{2}x_2, \dots, \frac{1}{n}x_n, \dots)$. It is obvious that $\{i : 0 < x'_i < x_i\} \in F$, so $\bar{x}' <_F \bar{x}$. We will prove that \bar{x} does not belong to the ideal generated by \bar{x}' . Following Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that for any natural k an element $\bar{z}^{(k)}$ defined by

$$\bar{z}^{(k)} = (\dots(\bar{x} *_{F} \bar{x}') *_{F} \dots) *_{F} \bar{x}'$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{k\text{-times}}$

is not equal to 0_F .

Let us observe that $\bar{z}^{(k)}$ is determined by a sequence $(z_0^{(k)}, z_1^{(k)}, \dots)$ where $\bar{z}_n^{(k)} = \max\{0, x_n - \frac{k}{n}x_n\}$. It is easy to see that for $n > k$ $x_n > 0$ iff $z_n^{(k)} > 0$. Hence the set

$$\{i : x_i > 0\} - \{i : z_i^{(k)} > 0\}$$

is finite and $\{i : x_i > 0\} \in F$ implies $\{i : z_i^{(k)} > 0\} \in F$. Thus we have proved that for any natural k $\bar{z}^{(k)} >_F 0_F$ and therefore \bar{x} does not belong to the ideal generated by \bar{x}' . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

References

- [1] A. Romanowska, T. Traczyk, **On commutative BCK-algebras**, preprint.
- [2] K. Iseki, S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, **Mathematica Japonicae** 23 (1978), pp. 1–26.

[3] K. Iseki, S. Tanaka, *Ideal theory of BCK-algebras*, **Mathematica Japonicae** 21 (1976), pp. 351–366.

[4] A. Robinson, **Non-standard analysis**, North Holland Publishing Company 1974.

*Mathematical Institute
Jagiellonian University
Cracow*