

Approaches to Formalisation of the Logic of Classes and its Extension to ZFC

Andrzej Indrzejczak

Department of Logic, University of Lodz

ExtenDD seminar April, 2026

OUTLINE

- 1 Logicism, Neologicism - classes and sets.

OUTLINE

- 1 Logicism, Neologicism - classes and sets.
- 2 Quine's Virtual Theory of Classes VTC as the logic of classe; Scott's and Tennant's variants.

- 1 Logicism, Neologicism - classes and sets.
- 2 Quine's Virtual Theory of Classes VTC as the logic of classes; Scott's and Tennant's variants.
- 3 Sequent calculi for logics of classes.

- 1 Logicism, Neologicism - classes and sets.
- 2 Quine's Virtual Theory of Classes VTC as the logic of classe; Scott's and Tennant's variants.
- 3 Sequent calculi for logics of classes.
- 4 Extension of the language.

- 1 Logicism, Neologicism - classes and sets.
- 2 Quine's Virtual Theory of Classes VTC as the logic of classes; Scott's and Tennant's variants.
- 3 Sequent calculi for logics of classes.
- 4 Extension of the language.
- 5 Sequent calculus for serious set theory (ZFC as an example).

INTRODUCTION

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles

$$*\varphi = *\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta;$$

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles
 $\ast\varphi = \ast\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta$;
- 3 Constructive neologicism: Tennant – single-barreled abstraction principles $t = \ast\varphi \leftrightarrow \Delta$, proof-theoretic approach.

Differences between 1 (and 2) and 3:

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles
 $\ast\varphi = \ast\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta$;
- 3 Constructive neologicism: Tennant – single-barreled abstraction principles $t = \ast\varphi \leftrightarrow \Delta$, proof-theoretic approach.

Differences between 1 (and 2) and 3:

- 1 Logic as ontological foundation for math (proving the existence of mathematical objects)/logic of the discourse of math (proving the properties of objects).

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles
 $\ast\varphi = \ast\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta$;
- 3 Constructive neologicism: Tennant – single-barreled abstraction principles $t = \ast\varphi \leftrightarrow \Delta$, proof-theoretic approach.

Differences between 1 (and 2) and 3:

- 1 Logic as ontological foundation for math (proving the existence of mathematical objects)/logic of the discourse of math (proving the properties of objects).
 - 1 Application of proof-theoretic tools (rules defining the meaning of concepts).

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles
 $\ast\varphi = \ast\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta$;
- 3 Constructive neologicism: Tennant – single-barreled abstraction principles $t = \ast\varphi \leftrightarrow \Delta$, proof-theoretic approach.

Differences between 1 (and 2) and 3:

- 1 Logic as ontological foundation for math (proving the existence of mathematical objects)/logic of the discourse of math (proving the properties of objects).
 - 1 Application of proof-theoretic tools (rules defining the meaning of concepts).
 - 2 Abstraction operator as the basic proof-theoretic tool.

Logicism, Neologicism, Constructive Neologicism:

- 1 Strong logicism: Frege, Russell;
- 2 Neologicism: Parsons, Wright, Hale – abstraction principles
 $\ast\varphi = \ast\psi \leftrightarrow \Delta$;
- 3 Constructive neologicism: Tennant – single-barreled abstraction principles $t = \ast\varphi \leftrightarrow \Delta$, proof-theoretic approach.

Differences between 1 (and 2) and 3:

- 1 Logic as ontological foundation for math (proving the existence of mathematical objects)/logic of the discourse of math (proving the properties of objects).
 - 1 Application of proof-theoretic tools (rules defining the meaning of concepts).
 - 2 Abstraction operator as the basic proof-theoretic tool.

Classes represented by set-abstracts/sets (= existing classes) represented by variables.

INTRODUCTION

Some proposed logics of classes:

Some proposed logics of classes:

- 1 Virtual Theory of Classes (VTC) of Quine 1944, 1962;

Some proposed logics of classes:

- 1 Virtual Theory of Classes (VTC) of Quine 1944, 1962;
- 2 Scott's free variant of VTC 1967;

Some proposed logics of classes:

- 1 Virtual Theory of Classes (VTC) of Quine 1944, 1962;
- 2 Scott's free variant of VTC 1967;
- 3 Tennant's Fregean Class Theory 1078, 2024.

Some proposed logics of classes:

- 1 Virtual Theory of Classes (VTC) of Quine 1944, 1962;
- 2 Scott's free variant of VTC 1967;
- 3 Tennant's Fregean Class Theory 1078, 2024.

We focus on VTC and provide its proof-theoretic characterisation GVTC in two variants for classic version.

Then we provide a sequent calculus for free Scott's variant.

INTRODUCTION

Language and Notation:

INTRODUCTION

Language and Notation:

Standard FO-language with primitive $\in, =$ and abstraction operator $\{ : \}$.

INTRODUCTION

Language and Notation:

Standard FO-language with primitive $\in, =$ and abstraction operator $\{ : \}$.

Terms are simple (free and bound variables) and complex (set abstracts).

Language and Notation:

Standard FO-language with primitive $\in, =$ and abstraction operator $\{ : \}$.

Terms are simple (free and bound variables) and complex (set abstracts).

x, y, z for bound variables;

a, b, c for free variables (parameters);

t for any terms;

s for any set abstracts.

Language and Notation:

Standard FO-language with primitive $\in, =$ and abstraction operator $\{ : \}$.

Terms are simple (free and bound variables) and complex (set abstracts).

x, y, z for bound variables;

a, b, c for free variables (parameters);

t for any terms;

s for any set abstracts.

$a \in b, a = b$ – simple atoms.

$s \in t, t \in s, s = t$ – complex atoms.

$t \approx t'$ for $t = t'$ or $t' = t$

φ, ψ – formulae;

Γ, Δ – multisets of formulae;

$\varphi[x/t]$ – proper substitution of t for x in φ .

Quantifiers:

Quantifiers:

For quantifiers it is classical with respect to variables (it is pure FOL in the sense of Church) but free with respect to set abstract, i.e. instantiation of s is restricted as follows:

$$s \in U \wedge \forall x \varphi \rightarrow \varphi[x/s] \quad (1)$$

$$s \in U \wedge \varphi[x/s] \rightarrow \exists x \varphi \quad (2)$$

where $U := \{x : x = x\}$

Quantifiers:

For quantifiers it is classical with respect to variables (it is pure FOL in the sense of Church) but free with respect to set abstract, i.e. instantiation of s is restricted as follows:

$$s \in U \wedge \forall x \varphi \rightarrow \varphi[x/s] \quad (1)$$

$$s \in U \wedge \varphi[x/s] \rightarrow \exists x \varphi \quad (2)$$

where $U := \{x : x = x\}$

Note that existence for classes (as expressed by set abstracts) can be expressed in three equivalent forms:

- 1 $\{x : \varphi\} \in U$
- 2 $\exists y (y = \{x : \varphi\})$
- 3 $\exists y \forall x (x \in y \leftrightarrow \varphi)$

Identity:

Identity:

For identity VTC satisfies for arbitrary terms the Leibniz Law and Extensionality:

$$t_1 = t_2 \wedge \varphi[x/t_1] \rightarrow \varphi[x/t_2] \quad (\text{LL})$$

$$\forall x(x \in t_1 \leftrightarrow x \in t_2) \rightarrow t_1 = t_2 \quad (\text{EXT})$$

Identity:

For identity VTC satisfies for arbitrary terms the Leibniz Law and Extensionality:

$$t_1 = t_2 \wedge \varphi[x/t_1] \rightarrow \varphi[x/t_2] \quad (\text{LL})$$

$$\forall x(x \in t_1 \leftrightarrow x \in t_2) \rightarrow t_1 = t_2 \quad (\text{EXT})$$

These principles imply usual properties of reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity for arbitrary terms and *AV* (α -conversion) for set abstracts:

$$\{x : \varphi\} = \{y : \varphi[x/y]\} \quad (\text{AV})$$

Abstracts and \in :

Abstracts and \in :

For \in it satisfies:

$$y \in \{x : \varphi\} := \varphi[x/y] \quad (3)$$

$$s \in t := \exists y(y = s \wedge y \in t) \quad (4)$$

Abstracts and \in :

For \in it satisfies:

$$y \in \{x : \varphi\} := \varphi[x/y] \quad (3)$$

$$s \in t := \exists y(y = s \wedge y \in t) \quad (4)$$

We can replace them with Scott's axioms:

$$\forall y(y \in \{x : \varphi\} \leftrightarrow \exists x(x = y \wedge \varphi)) \quad (Q3)$$

$$s \in t \rightarrow \exists y(y = s) \quad (Q2)$$

where y is not free in s, t, φ .

SEQUENT CALCULUS G FOR FOL

$$(Cut) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \varphi, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Sigma}$$

$$(AX) \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi$$

$$(\neg \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg) \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg \varphi}$$

$$(W \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \wedge) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \wedge \psi}$$

$$(\wedge \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi, \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow W) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}$$

$$(\vee \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \vee \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \vee) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \vee \psi}$$

$$(C \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi, \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \rightarrow) \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rightarrow \psi}$$

$$(\Rightarrow C) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}$$

$$(\leftrightarrow \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \psi \quad \varphi, \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\forall \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\forall x \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \exists) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists x \varphi}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \leftrightarrow) \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi \quad \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \forall) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/a]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall x \varphi}$$

$$(\exists \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi[x/a], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\exists x \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where a is a fresh parameter (eigenvariable)

HOW TO BUILD SEQUENT CALCULUS FOR VTC?

HOW TO BUILD SEQUENT CALCULUS FOR VTC?

Two approaches to construction of the rules for VTC:

HOW TO BUILD SEQUENT CALCULUS FOR VTC?

Two approaches to construction of the rules for VTC:

- Tennant: rules for single-barelled abstraction principles characterising $b = \{x : \varphi\} \implies$ T-GVTC;

HOW TO BUILD SEQUENT CALCULUS FOR VTC?

Two approaches to construction of the rules for VTC:

- Tennant: rules for single-barelled abstraction principles characterising $b = \{x : \varphi\} \implies$ T-GVTC;
- rules constructed on the basis of extensionality principle characterising $t = t'$ generally \implies GVTC.

SPECIAL RULES FOR T-GVTC:

$$(L1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t = c}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b = c}$$

$$(L2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a \in c}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in c}$$

$$(L3) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in a}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b} \quad (\Rightarrow:) \frac{t[a], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/a] \quad \varphi[x/a], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t[a]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t \approx \{x : \varphi\}}$$

$$(:\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t[b] \quad \varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{t \approx \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(:\Rightarrow 2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b] \quad t[b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{t \approx \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow 1) \frac{\varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{b \in \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow \in 1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in \{x : \varphi\}}$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow 2) \frac{a = \{x : \varphi\}, a \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\{x : \varphi\} \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow \in 2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b = \{x : \varphi\} \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \{x : \varphi\} \in t}$$

where: a is fresh in $(\Rightarrow:)$, $(\Leftrightarrow \Rightarrow 2)$, $t[b]$ is either $b \in t$, if t is a parameter or $\psi[v/b]$, if $t := \{v : \psi\}$ for v not necessarily identical to x .

SPECIAL RULES FOR T-GVTC:

$$(L1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t = c}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b = c}$$

$$(L2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a \in c}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in c}$$

$$(L3) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a = b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in a}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b} \quad (\Rightarrow:) \frac{t[a], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/a] \quad \varphi[x/a], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t[a]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t \approx \{x : \varphi\}}$$

$$(:\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t[b] \quad \varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{t \approx \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(:\Rightarrow 2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b] \quad t[b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{t \approx \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{b \in \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in \{x : \varphi\}}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 2) \frac{a = \{x : \varphi\}, a \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\{x : \varphi\} \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b = \{x : \varphi\} \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \{x : \varphi\} \in t}$$

where: a is fresh in $(\Rightarrow:)$, $(\in\Rightarrow 2)$, $t[b]$ is either $b \in t$, if t is a parameter or $\psi[v/b]$, if $t := \{v : \psi\}$ for v not necessarily identical to x .

Warning: (L1) does not satisfy the subformula property.

SPECIAL RULES FOR GVTC:

$$(\in\Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b_1 = b_2 \quad b_2 \in b_3, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{b_1 \in b_3, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\varphi[x/b], \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{b \in \{x : \varphi\}, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow=) \frac{a \in t, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, a \in t' \quad a \in t', \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, a \in t}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, t = t'}$$

$$(\Rightarrow\in 1) \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, \varphi[x/b]}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b \in \{x : \varphi\}}$$

$$(\Rightarrow\Rightarrow 1) \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b \in t \quad b \in t', \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{t = t', \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow\Rightarrow 2) \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b \in t' \quad b \in t, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{t = t', \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}$$

$$(\in\Rightarrow 2) \frac{a = \{x : \varphi\}, a \in t, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\{x : \varphi\} \in t, \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow\in 2) \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b = \{x : \varphi\} \quad \Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, b \in t}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta, \{x : \varphi\} \in t}$$

where: a is fresh in $(\Rightarrow=)$, $(\in\Rightarrow 2)$.

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.
- 7 Cut elimination holds for both systems due to 4, 5 and 6.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.
- 7 Cut elimination holds for both systems due to 4, 5 and 6.
- 8 Cut-free system for GVTC is analytic.

HARVEST

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.
- 7 Cut elimination holds for both systems due to 4, 5 and 6.
- 8 Cut-free system for GVTC is analytic.
- 9 Cut-free system for T-GVTC is not fully analytic due to 2.

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.
- 7 Cut elimination holds for both systems due to 4, 5 and 6.
- 8 Cut-free system for GVTC is analytic.
- 9 Cut-free system for T-GVTC is not fully analytic due to 2.
- 10 Both systems prove consistency of VTC, due to 3 and 7.

- 1 All rules for constants and complex atoms are explicit, separate and symmetric which are usual requirements for well-behaved SC rules.
- 2 All rules, except (*Cut*) and (*L1*) in T-GVTC, satisfy the subformula property.
- 3 All rules, except (*Cut*), have nonempty conclusion.
- 4 Substitution theorem holds for both systems.
- 5 All rules are pairwise reductive, modulo substitution of terms, hence reduction of cut-degree holds.
- 6 The rules for strict atoms (*L1*), (*L2*), (*L3*) in T-GVTC and ($\in\Rightarrow$) in GVTC are one-sided, hence reduction of cut-height holds.
- 7 Cut elimination holds for both systems due to 4, 5 and 6.
- 8 Cut-free system for GVTC is analytic.
- 9 Cut-free system for T-GVTC is not fully analytic due to 2.
- 10 Both systems prove consistency of VTC, due to 3 and 7.
- 11 Hypothesis: The system T-GVTC may be proved to satisfy the subformula property.

EXTENSIONS

$$\bigcup t := \{x : \exists y(x \in y \wedge y \in t)\}.$$

$$t \cap t' := \{x : x \in t \wedge x \in t'\}.$$

$$t \cup t' := \{x : x \in t \vee x \in t'\}.$$

$$t \setminus t' := \{x : x \in t \wedge x \notin t'\}.$$

$$t \subseteq t' \Leftrightarrow \forall x(x \in t \rightarrow x \in t').$$

$$\mathcal{P}(t) := \{x : x \subseteq t\}.$$

$$\{t, t'\} := \{x : x = t \vee x = t'\}.$$

$$\langle t, t' \rangle := \{x : x = \{t\} \vee x = \{t, t'\}\}.$$

$$t \times t' := \{x : \exists y, z(x = \langle y, z \rangle \wedge y \in t \wedge z \in t')\}.$$

$$REL(t, t') := \{x : x \subseteq t \times t'\}.$$

$$DOM(t) := \{x : \exists y(\langle x, y \rangle \in t)\}.$$

$$UN(t, t') := \{x : \forall y \in t, \forall z, v \in t'(\langle y, z \rangle \in x \wedge \langle y, v \rangle \in x \rightarrow z = v)\}$$

$$FUN(t, t') := \{x : x \in REL(t, t') \wedge DOM(x) = t \wedge x \in UN(t, t')\}.$$

$$CON(t) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in t(x \in y \vee y \in x \vee x = y).$$

$$TR(t) \Leftrightarrow \forall x(x \in t \rightarrow x \subseteq t).$$

$$ON(t) \Leftrightarrow CON(t) \wedge TR(t).$$

EXTENSIONS

$$(\emptyset \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c = c}{c \in \emptyset, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \emptyset) \frac{c = c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \emptyset} \quad (U \Rightarrow) \frac{c = c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in U, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow U) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c = c}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in U}$$

$$(\cap \Rightarrow) \frac{c \in t, c \in t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in t \cap t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \cap) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \cap t'} \quad (U \Rightarrow) \frac{c \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad c \in t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in t \cup t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \cup) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t, c \in t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \cup t'} \quad (\setminus \Rightarrow) \frac{c \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t'}{c \in t \setminus t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \setminus) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \quad c \in t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \setminus t'}$$

$$(\subseteq \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad b \in t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{t \subseteq t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \subseteq) \frac{a \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a \in t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, t \subseteq t'} \quad (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in c \quad b \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \mathcal{P}(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}) \frac{a \in c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \mathcal{P}(t)} \quad (\{\} \Rightarrow) \frac{c = t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad c = t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \{t, t'\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \{\}) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c = t, c = t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \{t, t'\}}$$

$$(U \Rightarrow) \frac{c \in a, a \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \cup t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow U) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \cup t}$$

where: a is fresh, whereas b, c are arbitrary parameters.

EXTENSIONS

$$(\langle \rangle \Rightarrow) \frac{c = \{t\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad c = \{t, t'\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \langle t, t' \rangle, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \langle \rangle) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c = \{t\}, c = \{t, t'\}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \langle t, t' \rangle}$$

$$(\times \Rightarrow) \frac{c = \langle a, a' \rangle, a \in t, a' \in t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in t \times t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \times) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c = \langle b, b' \rangle \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b' \in t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \times t'}$$

$$(\text{REL} \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in c \quad b \in t \times t', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \text{REL}(t, t'), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \text{REL}) \frac{a \in c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \times t'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \text{REL}(t, t')}$$

$$(\text{DOM} \Rightarrow) \frac{\langle c, a \rangle \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \text{DOM}(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \text{DOM}) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \langle c, b \rangle \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \text{DOM}(t)}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \text{UN}) \frac{a \in t, e \in t', e' \in t', \langle a, e \rangle \in c, \langle a, e' \rangle \in c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, e = e'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in \text{UN}(t, t')}$$

$$(\text{UN} \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, d \in t' \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, d' \in t' \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \langle b, d \rangle \in c \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \langle b, d' \rangle \in c \quad d = d', \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in \text{UN}(t, t'), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where: a, a', e, e' are fresh, whereas b, b', c, d, d' are arbitrary parameters.

EXTENSIONS

$$(FUN \Rightarrow) \frac{c \in REL(t, t'), DOM(c) = t, c \in UN(t, t'), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in FUN(t, t'), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow FUN) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in REL(t, t') \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, DOM(c) = t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in UN(t, t')}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in FUN(t, t')}$$

$$(CON \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in t \quad b \in c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad c \in b, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad b = c, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{CON(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow CON) \frac{a \in t, a' \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a \in a', a' \in a, a = a'}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, CON(t)}$$

$$(\Rightarrow TR) \frac{a \in t, a' \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a' \in t}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, TR(t)} \quad (\Rightarrow TR) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b \quad c \in t, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{TR(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(ON \Rightarrow) \frac{TR(t), CON(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{ON(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow ON) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, TR(t) \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, CON(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, ON(t)}$$

where: a, a' are fresh, whereas b, c are arbitrary parameters.

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- ① Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- ② CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;
 - proves non-existence of non-sets;

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;
 - proves non-existence of non-sets;
 - is unable to prove the existence of concrete sets \implies serious set theory.

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;
 - proves non-existence of non-sets;
 - is unable to prove the existence of concrete sets \implies serious set theory.

Differences:

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;
 - proves non-existence of non-sets;
 - is unable to prove the existence of concrete sets \implies serious set theory.

Differences:

- 1 T-GVTC is more complicated and not fully analytic.

WHAT WE OBTAIN?

In both variants:

- 1 Adequate formalisation of VTC.
- 2 CET holds for GVTC \implies VTC is consistent.
- 3 We have practical analytic (quasi-analytic in T-GVTC) system (\implies ATP, ITP), which:
 - proves features of set-theoretic operations and predicates;
 - proves non-existence of non-sets;
 - is unable to prove the existence of concrete sets \implies serious set theory.

Differences:

- 1 T-GVTC is more complicated and not fully analytic.

EXAMPLE:

Unprovability of the Russellian class $R := \{x : x \notin x\}$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\Rightarrow \neg) \frac{a \in a \Rightarrow a \in a}{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \notin a} \\
 (\Rightarrow \in 1) \frac{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \notin a}{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \in R} \\
 (==\Rightarrow 2) \frac{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \in R \quad a \in a \Rightarrow a \in a}{(==\Rightarrow 1) \frac{a = R \Rightarrow a \in a}{(C \Rightarrow), (W \Rightarrow) \frac{a = R, a = R \Rightarrow}{(\in \Rightarrow 2) \frac{a = R, a \in U \Rightarrow}{(\Rightarrow \neg) \frac{R \in U \Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow R \notin U}}}}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{a \in a \Rightarrow a \in a}{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \notin a} \\
 \frac{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \notin a}{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \in R} \quad a \in a \Rightarrow a \in a \\
 \frac{\Rightarrow a \in a, a \in R \quad a \in a \Rightarrow a \in a}{a = R \Rightarrow a \in a} \quad (\neg \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{a = R \Rightarrow a \in a}{a \notin a, a = R \Rightarrow} \quad (\in \Rightarrow 1) \\
 \frac{a \notin a, a = R \Rightarrow}{a \in R, a = R \Rightarrow}
 \end{array}$$

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Axioms of Separation, Pair, Sum, Power Set:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Axioms of Separation, Pair, Sum, Power Set:

Separation Schema: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in y \wedge \varphi(x))$, where z is not in $\varphi(x)$

Pairing Axiom: $\forall y_1 y_2 \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x = y_1 \vee x = y_2)$

Union Axiom: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow \exists v (x \in v \wedge v \in y))$

Powerset Axiom: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x \subseteq y)$

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Axioms of Separation, Pair, Sum, Power Set:

Separation Schema: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in y \wedge \varphi(x))$, where z is not in $\varphi(x)$

Pairing Axiom: $\forall y_1 y_2 \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x = y_1 \vee x = y_2)$

Union Axiom: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow \exists v (x \in v \wedge v \in y))$

Powerset Axiom: $\forall y \exists z \forall x (x \in z \leftrightarrow x \subseteq y)$

$$(\in \Rightarrow 3) \frac{b \in t, \varphi[x/b], \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{b \in \{x \in t : \varphi\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \in 3) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi[x/b]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in \{x \in t : \varphi\}}$$

$$(\text{Sep}) \frac{a = \{x \in b : \varphi(x)\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where a is fresh.

There is just one rule-schema for Pairing, Union and Powerset Axioms:

$$(S) \frac{a = s, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where a is fresh and s is either $\{x : x = b_1 \vee x = b_2\}$ or $\{x : \exists y (x \in y \wedge y \in b)\}$ or $\{x : x \subseteq b\}$.

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The rules for the Axiom of Infinity:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The rules for the Axiom of Infinity:

Infinity axiom: $\exists z(\emptyset \in z \wedge \forall x(x \in z \rightarrow \{x\} \in z))$

can be characterised by means of the system of rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} (Inf2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\mathcal{D}}} \\ (Inf1) \frac{\emptyset \in a, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma} \end{array}$$

where $(Inf2)$ is allowed only if below $(Inf1)$ is applied.

a is fresh in $(Inf1)$ so it is not present in Π, Σ (but it may appear in Γ, Δ).

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The rules for the Axiom of Infinity:

Infinity axiom: $\exists z(\emptyset \in z \wedge \forall x(x \in z \rightarrow \{x\} \in z))$

can be characterised by means of the system of rules:

$$(Inf2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\mathcal{D}}}$$
$$(Inf1) \frac{\emptyset \in a, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}$$

where $(Inf2)$ is allowed only if below $(Inf1)$ is applied.

a is fresh in $(Inf1)$ so it is not present in Π, Σ (but it may appear in Γ, Δ).

Note that $(Inf2)$ is not allowed in separation since:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The rules for the Axiom of Infinity:

Infinity axiom: $\exists z(\emptyset \in z \wedge \forall x(x \in z \rightarrow \{x\} \in z))$

can be characterised by means of the system of rules:

$$(Inf2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\mathcal{D}}}$$
$$(Inf1) \frac{\emptyset \in a, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}$$

where $(Inf2)$ is allowed only if below $(Inf1)$ is applied.

a is fresh in $(Inf1)$ so it is not present in Π, Σ (but it may appear in Γ, Δ).

Note that $(Inf2)$ is not allowed in separation since:

(a) either it is too weak for proving InfAx (if a is required fresh)

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The rules for the Axiom of Infinity:

Infinity axiom: $\exists z(\emptyset \in z \wedge \forall x(x \in z \rightarrow \{x\} \in z))$

can be characterised by means of the system of rules:

$$(Inf2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\mathcal{D}}}$$
$$(Inf1) \frac{\emptyset \in a, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}$$

where $(Inf2)$ is allowed only if below $(Inf1)$ is applied.

a is fresh in $(Inf1)$ so it is not present in Π, Σ (but it may appear in Γ, Δ).

Note that $(Inf2)$ is not allowed in separation since:

- (a) either it is too weak for proving InfAx (if a is required fresh) or
- (b) too strong – we cannot prove its derivability from InfAx (if a is arbitrary).

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Proof of the Axiom of Infinity:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Proof of the Axiom of Infinity:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\emptyset \in a \Rightarrow \emptyset \in a}{\emptyset \in a \Rightarrow \emptyset \in a \wedge \forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a)}{\emptyset \in a \Rightarrow \exists y(\emptyset \in y \wedge \forall x(x \in y \rightarrow \{x\} \in y))}{\Rightarrow \exists y(\emptyset \in y \wedge \forall x(x \in y \rightarrow \{x\} \in y))}}{\Rightarrow \forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a)} (\Rightarrow \forall)}{\Rightarrow b \in a \rightarrow \{b\} \in a} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow)}{b \in a \Rightarrow \{b\} \in a} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow)}{\frac{b \in a \Rightarrow b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a \Rightarrow \{b\} \in a}{b \in a \Rightarrow \{b\} \in a} (Inf2)} (\Rightarrow \wedge)} (\Rightarrow \exists)} (Inf1)$$

Note that it does not work if *(Inf2)* is a separate rule with *a* required fresh (case (a)).

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Derivability of $(Inf1) + (Inf2)$:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

Derivability of $(Inf1) + (Inf2)$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in a \quad \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{b \in a \rightarrow \{b\} \in a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\quad}{\forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} (\forall \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\quad}{D'} \\
 \frac{\quad}{\emptyset \in a, \forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a), \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma} (\wedge \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\quad}{\emptyset \in a \wedge \forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a), \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma} (\exists \Rightarrow) \\
 \Rightarrow InfAx \quad \frac{\quad}{\exists y(\emptyset \in y \wedge \forall x(x \in y \rightarrow \{x\} \in y)), \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma} (Cut) \\
 \frac{\quad}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}
 \end{array}$$

where D' is like D but with $\forall x(x \in a \rightarrow \{x\} \in a)$ added in each antecedent.

Note that derivability holds only if a is fresh in $(Inf1)$ oth we cannot prove derivability for $(Inf2)$ in separation (case (b)).

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Regularity:



EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Regularity:

$$(Reg) \frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in a \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}}{\mathcal{D}}}{a \in b, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}$$

where (Reg) is allowed only if below a is not present in Π, Σ

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Regularity:

$$(Reg) \frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in a \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in b}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}}{\mathcal{D}}}{a \in b, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}$$

where (Reg) is allowed only if below a is not present in Π, Σ

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{c \in a \Rightarrow c \in a \quad c \in b \Rightarrow c \in b}{c \in a, c \in b \Rightarrow} (Reg) \\ \frac{c \in a, c \in b \Rightarrow}{c \in a \Rightarrow c \notin b} (\Rightarrow \neg) \\ \frac{c \in a \Rightarrow c \notin b}{\Rightarrow c \in a \rightarrow c \notin b} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow) \\ \frac{\Rightarrow c \in a \rightarrow c \notin b}{\Rightarrow \forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \notin b)} (\Rightarrow \forall) \\ \frac{a \in b \Rightarrow a \in b \quad \Rightarrow \forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \notin b)}{a \in b \Rightarrow a \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \notin b)} (\Rightarrow \wedge) \\ \frac{a \in b \Rightarrow a \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \notin b)}{a \in b \Rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))} (\Rightarrow \exists) \\ \frac{a \in b \Rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))}{\exists yy \in b \Rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))} (\exists \Rightarrow) \\ \frac{\exists yy \in b \Rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))}{\Rightarrow \exists yy \in b \rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow) \\ \frac{\Rightarrow \exists yy \in b \rightarrow \exists y(y \in b \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin b))}{\Rightarrow \forall x(\exists yy \in x \rightarrow \exists y(y \in x \wedge \forall z(z \in y \rightarrow z \notin x)))} (\Rightarrow \forall) \end{array}$$

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom Schema of Replacement:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom Schema of Replacement:

φ is functional in t if for every $x \in t$ it assigns exactly one element. Formally:

$$FU(\varphi, t) \Leftrightarrow \forall x(x \in t \rightarrow \forall yz(\varphi(xy) \wedge \varphi(xz) \rightarrow y = z))$$

It is characterised by the pair of rules:

$$(\Rightarrow FU) \frac{a_1 \in t, \varphi(a_1 a_2), \varphi(a_1 a_3), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, a_2 = a_3}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, FU(\varphi, t)}$$

$$\frac{(FU \Rightarrow) \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b_1 \in t \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi(b_1 b_2) \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi(b_1 b_3) \quad b_2 = b_3, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{FU(\varphi, t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3 are fresh parameters.

$$(Rep) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, FU(\varphi, b) \quad a = \{x : \exists y(y \in b \wedge \varphi(yx))\}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

where a is fresh.

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Choice (in terms of the choice function):

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Choice (in terms of the choice function):

Informally: for every family x of nonempty sets there exists a function f , called choice function for x , such that for every $y \in x$, $f(y) \in y$.

Auxiliary notions of nonempty set and choice function:

$$N(t) \Leftrightarrow \forall y(y \in t \rightarrow \exists z(z \in y))$$

$$\forall xy(N(x) \rightarrow (y \in CF(x) \Leftrightarrow y \in FUN(x, \bigcup x) \wedge \forall zz'(\langle z, z' \rangle \in y \rightarrow z' \in z)))$$

In terms of these defined notions we can formulate Choice Axiom as follows:

$$\forall x(N(x) \rightarrow \exists y(y \in CF(x)))$$

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Choice – respective rules:

EXTENSIONS TO SERIOUS SET THEORY – THE CASE OF ZFC

The Axiom of Choice – respective rules:

$$(\Rightarrow N2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b' \in a}{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\mathcal{D}}}$$

$$(\Rightarrow N1) \frac{a \in t, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma, b \in a}{\Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma, N(t)}$$

$$(N \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, b \in t \quad a \in b, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{N(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (CA) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, N(t) \quad a \in CF(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(CF \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, N(t) \quad c \in FUN(t, \cup t), \forall xx' (\langle x, x' \rangle \rightarrow x' \in x), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{c \in CF(t), \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow CF) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, N(t) \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in FUN(t, \cup t) \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall xx' (\langle x, x' \rangle \rightarrow x' \in x)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, c \in CF(t)}$$

where a is fresh in (CA) , $(N \Rightarrow)$, $(\Rightarrow N1)$ but not in $(\Rightarrow N2)$. The latter rule can be applied only over the application of $(\Rightarrow N1)$ to which it is linked by having the same parameter a .

CONCLUDING REMARKS

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

Open problems:

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

Open problems:

- 1 Proving the Subformula Property for T-GVTC (restricted form of $(L1)$).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

Open problems:

- 1 Proving the Subformula Property for T-GVTC (restricted form of $(L1)$).
- 2 Application to automated deduction.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

Open problems:

- 1 Proving the Subformula Property for T-GVTC (restricted form of $(L1)$).
- 2 Application to automated deduction.
- 3 Formalisation of other variants of theory of classes (Tennant's system, Scott's variant of VTC) and their comparison.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Further developments:

- 1 All rules are either intuitionistically correct or can be replaced with such constructive (i.e. single-succedent) variants. \implies Intuitionistic VTC.
- 2 On the basis of adequacy of cut-free system we can obtain simplified tableau and ND systems.

Open problems:

- 1 Proving the Subformula Property for T-GVTC (restricted form of $(L1)$).
- 2 Application to automated deduction.
- 3 Formalisation of other variants of theory of classes (Tennant's system, Scott's variant of VTC) and their comparison.
- 4 Extending these approach to cover "serious theories of sets" like ZFC, NGB or NF.

Funded by the European Union (ERC, ExtenDD, project number: 101054714). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

