



Jack Hejduk and
Piotr Nowakowski

A visit to the family of porous sets









A visit to the family of porous sets

Jacek Hejduk and Piotr Nowakowski

46th Summer Symposium in Real Analysis

"The Promised Land Symposjum"

17-20 of June 2024

**Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Łódź University**

POLAND

A visit to the family of porous sets

6 / 26

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. The porosity of a set E at a given point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a number

$$p(E, x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(E, (x - h, x + h))}{h},$$

where

$$\gamma(E, (x - h, x + h)) = \sup\{|J| : J \subset (x - h, x + h) \setminus E, J \text{ - an open interval}\}.$$

A set E is porous at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if $p(E, x) > 0$. A set E is porous if it is porous at every point $x \in E$.

Putting for every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$\Phi(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : p(A', x) > 0\}$$

we get an operator satisfying the conditions:

1. $\Phi(\emptyset) = \Phi(\emptyset), \Phi(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R};$
2. $\forall_{A \text{ - finite set}} \Phi(A) = \emptyset$
3. $\forall_{A, B \subset \mathbb{R}} A \subset B \Rightarrow \Phi(A) \subset \Phi(B);$
4. $\forall_{I \text{ - interval}} I \subset \Phi(I);$
5. $\forall_{A \in \tau_{nat}} A \subset \Phi(A);$
6. $\forall_{A \in \tau_{nat}} \forall_{B \subset \mathbb{R}} (B \subset \Phi(B) \Rightarrow A \cap B \subset \Phi(A \cap B)).$

Operator Φ is not comparable with the operator Φ_d and Φ_I .

$$\Phi_d(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \Phi_I(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R},$$

but

$$\Phi(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset.$$

and also

$$\Phi_d([a, b]) = \Phi_I([a, b]) = (a, b),$$

but

$$\Phi([a, b]) = [a, b].$$

Theorem 1

A family

$$\tau = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$$

is a strong generalized topology, which means that $\emptyset, \mathbb{R} \in \tau$ and τ is closed with respect to the arbitrary unions. Moreover, $\tau_{\text{nat}} \subsetneq \tau$.

The notion of a generalized topology was invented independently by many mathematicians, e.g.: E.H. Moore, A. Apert, T.S. Motzkin, F.W. Levi, S. Lugojan, A.S. Mashhour, A.A. Allam, F.S. Mahmood, F.H. Khedr, and Á. Császár.

Example 1

$$\mathcal{T}^* = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : \forall_{x \in A} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu^*(A \cap [x - h, x + h])}{2h} = 1\}$$

(J. Hejduk, A. Loranty *On strong generalized topology with respect to the outer Lebesgue measure*, Acta Math. Hungar. **163**(1) (2021))

Example 2

$$\mathcal{T}^+ = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : \forall_{x \in A} \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(A \cap [x - h, x + h])}{2h} > 0\}$$

(J. Hejduk, R. Wiertelak, W. Wilczyński *On the family of measurable sets having the upper positive density*, submitted.)

The definition $p(A', x) > 0$ for $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that A contains an interval. Therefore, for every $A \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ we have $\text{int}_{\tau_{\text{nat}}} A \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 2

The strong generalized topology τ and τ_{nat} are similar, which means that

$$\forall A \subset \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{int}_{\tau} A \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{int}_{\tau_{\text{nat}}} A \neq \emptyset).$$

Example 3

Let us define

$$A = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad B = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Then $A \in \tau$ but $B \notin \tau$, because $0 \in B \setminus \Phi(B)$.

Simultaneously $A \Delta B \in \mathcal{J}$, where \mathcal{J} is an arbitrary σ -ideal in \mathbb{R} containing all singletons. However, $\Phi(A) \neq \Phi(B)$.

Example 3

Let us define

$$A = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad B = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Then $A \in \tau$ but $B \notin \tau$, because $0 \in B \setminus \Phi(B)$.

Simultaneously $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{J}$, where \mathcal{J} is an arbitrary σ -ideal in \mathbb{R} containing all singletons. However, $\Phi(A) \neq \Phi(B)$.

Remark 3

If $A \triangle B$ is finite then $\Phi(A) = \Phi(B)$.

Proposition 4

$$\forall A \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \Phi(A) \setminus A \in \mathcal{J}_P,$$

where \mathcal{J}_P is the family of porous sets. Consequently,

$$\Phi(A) \setminus A \in \mathbb{L} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\tau_{nat}},$$

so it is Lebesgue null set and nowhere dense set.

Proposition 4

$$\forall A \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \Phi(A) \setminus A \in \mathcal{J}_P,$$

where \mathcal{J}_P is the family of porous sets. Consequently,

$$\Phi(A) \setminus A \in \mathbb{L} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\tau_{nat}},$$

so it is Lebesgue null set and nowhere dense set.

The opposite property that $A \setminus \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{J}_P$ does not hold, because $\Phi(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5

A set $U \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ if and only if $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \cup A$, where (I_n) is a sequence of non-trivial components, $A \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n = \emptyset$ and for every $x \in A$ there exists a subsequence (I_{t_n}) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, I_{t_n}) = 0$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I_{t_n}|}{|I_{t_n}| + d(x, I_{t_n})} > 0.$$

Theorem 5

A set $U \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ if and only if $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \cup A$, where (I_n) is a sequence of non-trivial components, $A \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n = \emptyset$ and for every $x \in A$ there exists a subsequence (I_{t_n}) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, I_{t_n}) = 0$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I_{t_n}|}{|I_{t_n}| + d(x, I_{t_n})} > 0.$$

Corollary 6

Let (I_n) be a sequence of non-trivial intervals such that $x \notin \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, I_{t_n}) = 0$. Then $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \cup \{x\} \in \tau$ if and only if there exists a subsequence (I_{t_n}) such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I_{t_n}|}{|I_{t_n}| + d(x, I_{t_n})} > 0.$$

Corollary 7

Let (x_n) be a decreasing sequence converging to x . Then a set

$U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_{n+1}, x_n) \cup \{x\} \in \tau$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_n} > 0.$$

Corollary 7

Let (x_n) be a decreasing sequence converging to x . Then a set

$U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_{n+1}, x_n) \cup \{x\} \in \tau$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_n} > 0.$$

Theorem 8

For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$:

- i) $\text{int}_\tau(A) = A \cap \Phi(A)$
- ii) $\text{cl}_\tau(A) = A \cup (X \setminus \Phi(X \setminus A)).$

Let (X, Γ) be a generalized topology.

Definition 4

A set $A \subset X$ is

- Γ -nowhere dense if $\text{int}_\Gamma(\text{cl}_\Gamma(A)) = \emptyset$,
- Γ -strong nowhere dense if for every set $U \in \Gamma \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ there exists a set $V \in \Gamma \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $V \subset U$ and $V \cap A = \emptyset$,
- Γ -first category set if it is a countable union of Γ -nowhere dense sets,
- Γ -strong first category set if it is a countable union of Γ -strong nowhere dense sets.

Theorem 9

For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ the following conditions are equivalent

- i) *A is τ -nowhere dense,*
- ii) *A is τ -strong nowhere dense,*
- iii) *A is τ_{nat} -nowhere dense,*

- Separability

Theorem 10

(\mathbb{R}, τ) is separable.

- The first countability

Theorem 11

(\mathbb{R}, τ) is not the first countable.

- The compactness

Theorem 12

$A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is τ -compact if and only if it is finite.

- The axioms of separations

Theorem 13

(\mathbb{R}, τ) is a Hausdorff space.

Theorem 14

(\mathbb{R}, τ) is a normal space.

- Connectivity

Theorem 15

(\mathbb{R}, τ) is a extremally disconnected.

Theorem 16

The topology generated by τ is equal to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let (X, Γ) be a generalized topology. It is known:

Theorem 17

A family

$$\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \{A \in \Gamma : \forall_{B \in \Gamma} A \cap B \in \Gamma\}$$

is a topology included in Γ .

(In the case of example 2 $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \mathcal{T}_d$ -density topology) So that

$$\mathcal{T}_\tau = \{A \in \tau : \forall_{B \in \tau} A \cap B \in \tau\}$$

is a topology. By Condition 6 we get that

$$\tau_{nat} \subset \mathcal{T}_\tau.$$

Let (X, Γ) be a generalized topology. It is known:

Theorem 17

A family

$$\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \{A \in \Gamma : \forall_{B \in \Gamma} A \cap B \in \Gamma\}$$

is a topology included in Γ .

(In the case of example 2 $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \mathcal{T}_d$ -density topology) So that

$$\mathcal{T}_\tau = \{A \in \tau : \forall_{B \in \tau} A \cap B \in \tau\}$$

is a topology. By Condition 6 we get that

$$\tau_{nat} \subset \mathcal{T}_\tau.$$

Let (X, Γ) be a generalized topology. It is known:

Theorem 17

A family

$$\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \{A \in \Gamma : \forall_{B \in \Gamma} A \cap B \in \Gamma\}$$

is a topology included in Γ .

(In the case of example 2 $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \mathcal{T}_d$ -density topology) So that

$$\mathcal{T}_\tau = \{A \in \tau : \forall_{B \in \tau} A \cap B \in \tau\}$$

is a topology. By Condition 6 we get that

$$\tau_{nat} \subset \mathcal{T}$$

Question 1

$$\tau_{nat} = \mathcal{T}_\tau?$$

Continuity

Let $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$.

Definition 5

We shall say that f is τ -continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $W \in \tau_{nat}$, $f(x) \in W$ there exists $V \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in V$ and $f(V) \subset W$.

Continuity

Let $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$.

Definition 5

We shall say that f is τ -continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $W \in \tau_{nat}$, $f(x) \in W$ there exists $V \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in V$ and $f(V) \subset W$.

Definition 6

We shall say that f is τ -approximately continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a set $A \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in A$ and $f|_A$ is τ_{nat} -continuous.

Continuity

Let $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$.

Definition 5

We shall say that f is τ -continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $W \in \tau_{nat}$, $f(x) \in W$ there exists $V \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in V$ and $f(V) \subset W$.

Definition 6

We shall say that f is τ -approximately continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a set $A \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in A$ and $f|_A$ is τ_{nat} -continuous.

Theorem 18

If $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$ is τ -approximately continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ then f is τ -continuous.

Continuity

Let $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$.

Definition 5

We shall say that f is τ -continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $W \in \tau_{nat}$, $f(x) \in W$ there exists $V \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in V$ and $f(V) \subset W$.

Definition 6

We shall say that f is τ -approximately continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a set $A \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in A$ and $f|_A$ is τ_{nat} -continuous.

Theorem 18

If $f : \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_{nat} \rangle$ is τ -approximately continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ then f is τ -continuous.

Question 2

Is the inverse property true?

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **659**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] Á. Császár, *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*, Acta Math. Hungar. **96** (2002), 351–357.
- [3] J. Hejduk, A. Loranty, *On strong generalized topology with respect to the outer Lebesgue measure*, Acta Math. Hungar. **163**(1) (2021), 18–28.
- [4] S. Kowalczyk, M. Turowska, *On continuity in generalized topology*, Topol. and Appl. **297** (2021), 107702.
- [5] E. H. Moore, *Introduction to a form of general analysis*, New Haven Mathematical Colloquium, Yale University Press, 1910, 1–150.