

# *Invariant measures for the dyadic transformation*

Janusz Morawiec  
(joint work with Thomas Zürcher)



UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA  
IN KATOWICE

The 46<sup>th</sup> Summer Symposium in Real Analysis  
June 16–21, 2024, Łódź, Poland

## Definition 1.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $S: X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation. A measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is said to be invariant for  $S$  if

$$\mu(S^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

## Definition 1.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $S: X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation. A measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is said to be invariant for  $S$  if

$$\mu(S^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

## Definition 2.

A dyadic transformation is a map  $S: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $S(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$ .

## Definition 1.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $S: X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation. A measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is said to be invariant for  $S$  if

$$\mu(S^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

## Definition 2.

A dyadic transformation is a map  $S: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $S(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$ .

Find all Borel probability measures that are invariant for the dyadic transformation.

## Lemma 1.

- ① If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure for the dyadic transformation, then the formula

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \mu([0, x])$$

defines an increasing and right-continuous function  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{for every } x \in [0, 1].$$

- ② If  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an increasing and right-continuous function satisfying (2) with  $\varphi(1) = 1$ , then formula (1) determines uniquely an invariant measure for the dyadic transformation.

## Lemma 1.

- ① If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure for the dyadic transformation, then the formula

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \mu([0, x])$$

defines an increasing and right-continuous function  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{for every } x \in [0, 1].$$

- ② If  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an increasing and right-continuous function satisfying (2) with  $\varphi(1) = 1$ , then formula (1) determines uniquely an invariant measure for the dyadic transformation.

## Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \mu\left([0, \frac{x}{2}] \cup \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{x+1}{2}\right]\right) = \mu\left([0, \frac{x}{2}]\right) + \mu\left([0, \frac{x+1}{2}]\right) - \mu\left([0, \frac{1}{2}]\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Describe the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1, \varphi \text{ is increasing solution to (2)} \right\}.$$

Describe the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1, \varphi \text{ is increasing solution to (2)} \right\}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = 1, \phi \text{ is increasing} \right\}$$

and define  $T: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  by putting

$$T\phi(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$



Describe the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1, \varphi \text{ is increasing solution to (2)} \right\}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = 1, \phi \text{ is increasing} \right\}$$

and define  $T: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  by putting

$$T\phi(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Given a Banach limit  $B$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  we associate with them  $B_\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  defined by

$$B_\phi(x) = B((T^m \phi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

Describe the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1, \varphi \text{ is increasing solution to (2)} \right\}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = 1, \phi \text{ is increasing} \right\}$$

and define  $T: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  by putting

$$T\phi(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Given a Banach limit  $B$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  we associate with them  $B_\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  defined by

$$B_\phi(x) = B((T^m \phi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

## Theorem 1.

Let  $B$  be a Banach limit. Then  $\mathcal{S} = \{B_\phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .

## Proof.

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$B_{\varphi}(x) = B((T^m \varphi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}) = B((\varphi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varphi(x)$$

for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and hence  $\mathcal{S} \subset \{B_{\phi} \mid \phi \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .

Fix  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$ . Clearly,  $B_{\phi} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Moreover, for every  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\phi}(x) &= B((T^m \phi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}) = B((T^{m+1} \phi(x))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}) \\ &= B\left(\left(T^m \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + T^m \phi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - T^m \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \\ &= B\left(\left(T^m \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\right) + B\left(\left(T^m \phi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \\ &\quad - B\left(\left(T^m \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\right) = B_{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + B_{\phi}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - B_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.**

Every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is a convex combination of functions of the following three classes:

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is an absolutely continuous function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_C = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a continuous and singular function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a right-continuous jump function}\}.$$

**Lemma 2.**

Every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is a convex combination of functions of the following three classes:

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is an absolutely continuous function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_C = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a continuous and singular function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a right-continuous jump function}\}.$$

**Theorem 2 (A.Rényi, 1957).**

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\text{id}_{[0,1]}\}.$$

**Lemma 2.**

Every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is a convex combination of functions of the following three classes:

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is an absolutely continuous function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_C = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a continuous and singular function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a right-continuous jump function}\}.$$

**Theorem 2 (A.Rényi, 1957).**

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\text{id}_{[0,1]}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.**

If  $B$  is a Banach limit and  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  is absolutely continuous, then  $B_\phi = \text{id}_{[0,1]}$ .

**Lemma 2.**

Every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is a convex combination of functions of the following three classes:

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is an absolutely continuous function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_C = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a continuous and singular function}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \mid \varphi \text{ is a right-continuous jump function}\}.$$

**Theorem 2 (A.Rényi, 1957).**

$$\mathcal{S}_A = \{\text{id}_{[0,1]}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.**

If  $B$  is a Banach limit and  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  is absolutely continuous, then  $B_\phi = \text{id}_{[0,1]}$ .

Prove or disprove that if  $B$  is a Banach limit and  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  is continuous and singular, then  $B_\phi$  is continuous and singular.

### Lemma 3.

Each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is continuous at all points outside of the set

$$D = \left\{ \frac{p}{2^k - 1} \mid p \in \{1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$



### Lemma 3.

Each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is continuous at all points outside of the set

$$D = \left\{ \frac{p}{2^k - 1} \mid p \in \{1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Decompose  $D$  on subsets  $D_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where each  $D_n$  is the smallest subset of  $D$  with the following property: If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is discontinuous at a point of  $D_n$ , then it is discontinuous at all points of  $D_n$ . We can proceed as follows:

for  $k = 1$  we put  $D_1 = \{1\}$ ; for  $k = 2$  we put  $D_2 = \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$ ;

for  $k = 3$  we put  $D_3 = \{\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{4}{7}\}$ ,  $D_4 = \{\frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{7}\}$ ;

for  $k = 4$  we put  $D_6 = \{\frac{1}{15}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{8}{15}\}$ ,  $D_7 = \{\frac{3}{15}, \frac{6}{15}, \frac{12}{15}, \frac{9}{15}\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{\frac{5}{15}, \frac{10}{15}\}$ ,  
 $D_8 = \{\frac{7}{15}, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{13}{15}, \frac{11}{15}\}$ ; and so on.

### Lemma 3.

Each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is continuous at all points outside of the set

$$D = \left\{ \frac{p}{2^k - 1} \mid p \in \{1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Decompose  $D$  on subsets  $D_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where each  $D_n$  is the smallest subset of  $D$  with the following property: If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is discontinuous at a point of  $D_n$ , then it is discontinuous at all points of  $D_n$ . We can proceed as follows:

for  $k = 1$  we put  $D_1 = \{1\}$ ; for  $k = 2$  we put  $D_2 = \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$ ;

for  $k = 3$  we put  $D_3 = \{\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{4}{7}\}$ ,  $D_4 = \{\frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{7}\}$ ;

for  $k = 4$  we put  $D_6 = \{\frac{1}{15}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{8}{15}\}$ ,  $D_7 = \{\frac{3}{15}, \frac{6}{15}, \frac{12}{15}, \frac{9}{15}\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{\frac{5}{15}, \frac{10}{15}\}$ ,  
 $D_8 = \{\frac{7}{15}, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{13}{15}, \frac{11}{15}\}$ ; and so on.

Next for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define a right-continuous jump function  $\varphi_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_n(1) = 1$ , and  $\varphi_n$  has equal jumps at every point of  $D_n$ .

### Lemma 3.

Each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is continuous at all points outside of the set

$$D = \left\{ \frac{p}{2^k - 1} \mid p \in \{1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Decompose  $D$  on subsets  $D_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where each  $D_n$  is the smallest subset of  $D$  with the following property: If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  is discontinuous at a point of  $D_n$ , then it is discontinuous at all points of  $D_n$ . We can proceed as follows:

for  $k = 1$  we put  $D_1 = \{1\}$ ; for  $k = 2$  we put  $D_2 = \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$ ;  
 for  $k = 3$  we put  $D_3 = \{\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{4}{7}\}$ ,  $D_4 = \{\frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{7}\}$ ;  
 for  $k = 4$  we put  $D_6 = \{\frac{1}{15}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{8}{15}\}$ ,  $D_7 = \{\frac{3}{15}, \frac{6}{15}, \frac{12}{15}, \frac{9}{15}\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{\frac{5}{15}, \frac{10}{15}\}$ ,  
 $D_8 = \{\frac{7}{15}, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{13}{15}, \frac{11}{15}\}$ ; and so on.

Next for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define a right-continuous jump function  $\varphi_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_n(1) = 1$ , and  $\varphi_n$  has equal jumps at every point of  $D_n$ .

### Theorem 4.

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n \varphi_n \mid \alpha_n \geq 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n = 1 \right\}.$$

More on solutions to the functional equation (2)  $\varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a probability vector  $P = (p_0, \dots, p_{2^m-1}) \in [0, 1]^{2^m}$  let  $\Phi_P: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the unique increasing and continuous function satisfying

$$\Phi_P\left(\frac{x+k}{2^m}\right) = p_k \Phi_P(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, \dots, 2^m - 1\}.$$

Then define  $\varphi_P: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\varphi_P(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^i-1} \left[ \Phi_P\left(\frac{x+k}{2^i}\right) - \Phi_P\left(\frac{k}{2^i}\right) \right]$$

More on solutions to the functional equation (2)  $\varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a probability vector  $P = (p_0, \dots, p_{2^m-1}) \in [0, 1]^{2^m}$  let  $\Phi_P: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the unique increasing and continuous function satisfying

$$\Phi_P\left(\frac{x+k}{2^m}\right) = p_k \Phi_P(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, \dots, 2^m - 1\}.$$

Then define  $\varphi_P: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\varphi_P(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^i-1} \left[ \Phi_P\left(\frac{x+k}{2^i}\right) - \Phi_P\left(\frac{k}{2^i}\right) \right]$$

## Theorem 5.

Let  $\Delta_m$  be the set of all probability vectors  $P \in [0, 1]^{2^m}$ . Then for every Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Delta_m$  the formula

$$\Psi_\nu(x) = \int_{\Delta_m} \varphi_P(x) d\nu(P)$$

defines a function  $\Psi_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_C$ .