

Some new results on integrals in the L^r -setting.

Paul Musial

CIMST Department
Chicago State University
Chicago, Illinois USA

Joint work with V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski and F. Tulone

The Promised Land Symposium
Łódź, Poland
June 17-21, 2024

I realize that I am standing between you and supper



Integrals in the L^r -setting

Most of this talk is based on the papers

Integrals in the L^r -setting

Most of this talk is based on the papers

PM, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone

On the L^r -differentiability of Two Lusin Classes and a Full Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -integral, submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024

Integrals in the L^r -setting

Most of this talk is based on the papers

PM, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone

On the L^r -differentiability of Two Lusin Classes and a Full Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -integral, submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024

PM, V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone

Comparison of the P_r -integral with Burkil's integrals and some applications to trigonometric series, JMAA, 2023.

Integrals in the L^r -setting

Most of this talk is based on the papers

PM, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone

On the L^r -differentiability of Two Lusin Classes and a Full Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -integral, submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024

PM, V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone

Comparison of the P_r -integral with Burkill's integrals and some applications to trigonometric series, JMAA, 2023.

PM, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone

A New Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -Integral and its Inclusion in Burkill's Integrals, submitted to JMAA, 2024.

① Lebesgue Integral

- Absolute Continuity
- Tampering
- Descriptive Characterization

① Lebesgue Integral

- Absolute Continuity
- Tampering
- Descriptive Characterization

② The Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

- HK -integral
- AC_δ and ACG_δ

① Lebesgue Integral

- Absolute Continuity
- Tampering
- Descriptive Characterization

② The Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

- HK -integral
- AC_δ and ACG_δ

③ L^r -setting

- L^r -derivative
- HK_r -integral
- ACG_r
- Descriptive Characterization

- ① Lebesgue Integral
 - Absolute Continuity
 - Tampering
 - Descriptive Characterization
- ② The Henstock-Kurzweil Integral
 - HK -integral
 - AC_δ and ACG_δ
- ③ L^r -setting
 - L^r -derivative
 - HK_r -integral
 - ACG_r
 - Descriptive Characterization
- ④ Wide Denjoy and Kubota
 - Wide Denjoy
 - Kubota
 - ACG and $[ACG]$
 - L^r -differentiability

- ① Lebesgue Integral
 - Absolute Continuity
 - Tampering
 - Descriptive Characterization
- ② The Henstock-Kurzweil Integral
 - HK -integral
 - AC_δ and ACG_δ
- ③ L^r -setting
 - L^r -derivative
 - HK_r -integral
 - ACG_r
 - Descriptive Characterization
- ④ Wide Denjoy and Kubota
 - Wide Denjoy
 - Kubota
 - ACG and $[ACG]$
 - L^r -differentiability
- ⑤ Coefficients Problem
 - CP -integral
 - $ACG_r = ACG_r^*$
 - L^r -differentiability
 - HK_r vs. Wide Denjoy

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

We work on a closed interval $[a, b]$, and for any function F and any interval $I = [c, d]$ we will set $F(I) = F(d) - F(c)$.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

We work on a closed interval $[a, b]$, and for any function F and any interval $I = [c, d]$ we will set $F(I) = F(d) - F(c)$.

The definition of a Lebesgue integrable function is a rather complicated affair involving measurable sets, measurable functions, suprema, infima, etc.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

We work on a closed interval $[a, b]$, and for any function F and any interval $I = [c, d]$ we will set $F(I) = F(d) - F(c)$.

The definition of a Lebesgue integrable function is a rather complicated affair involving measurable sets, measurable functions, suprema, infima, etc.

However, it is quite simple to characterize functions that are indefinite Lebesgue integrals: a function is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of a Lebesgue integrable function if and only if it is **absolutely continuous**:

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

We work on a closed interval $[a, b]$, and for any function F and any interval $I = [c, d]$ we will set $F(I) = F(d) - F(c)$.

The definition of a Lebesgue integrable function is a rather complicated affair involving measurable sets, measurable functions, suprema, infima, etc.

However, it is quite simple to characterize functions that are indefinite Lebesgue integrals: a function is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of a Lebesgue integrable function if and only if it is **absolutely continuous**:

AC-function

F is an *AC*-function on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in E and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

Of course an AC function is of bounded variation and can so be written as the difference of two nondecreasing functions and therefore is differentiable a.e.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

Of course an AC function is of bounded variation and can so be written as the difference of two nondecreasing functions and therefore is differentiable a.e.

Thus we obtain a characterization of Lebesgue integrable functions in terms of absolutely continuous functions:

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

Of course an AC function is of bounded variation and can so be written as the difference of two nondecreasing functions and therefore is differentiable a.e.

Thus we obtain a characterization of Lebesgue integrable functions in terms of absolutely continuous functions:

Characterization of Lebesgue Integrable Functions

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable if and only if there exists a function F which is AC on $[a, b]$ such that $F' = f$ a.e.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

Of course an AC function is of bounded variation and can so be written as the difference of two nondecreasing functions and therefore is differentiable a.e.

Thus we obtain a characterization of Lebesgue integrable functions in terms of absolutely continuous functions:

Characterization of Lebesgue Integrable Functions

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable if and only if there exists a function F which is AC on $[a, b]$ such that $F' = f$ a.e.

We have thus obtained a *Lusin-type descriptive characterization* of the Lebesgue integral.

Descriptive Characterization of the Lebesgue Integral.

Of course an AC function is of bounded variation and can so be written as the difference of two nondecreasing functions and therefore is differentiable a.e.

Thus we obtain a characterization of Lebesgue integrable functions in terms of absolutely continuous functions:

Characterization of Lebesgue Integrable Functions

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable if and only if there exists a function F which is AC on $[a, b]$ such that $F' = f$ a.e.

We have thus obtained a *Lusin-type descriptive characterization* of the Lebesgue integral.

It is quite natural to then play with the definition of AC in an attempt to obtain descriptive characterizations of other types of integrals.

AC_{fresh} -functions

Mere continuity is clearly insufficient to characterize any useful indefinite integral.

AC_{fresh} -functions

Mere continuity is clearly insufficient to characterize any useful indefinite integral.

Suppose one, perhaps a first-year student, were to attempt to modify the definition of AC by eliminating the η condition. We will call the class of functions thus obtained AC_{fresh} :

AC_{fresh} -functions

Mere continuity is clearly insufficient to characterize any useful indefinite integral.

Suppose one, perhaps a first-year student, were to attempt to modify the definition of AC by eliminating the η condition. We will call the class of functions thus obtained AC_{fresh} :

AC_{fresh} -function

F is an AC_{fresh} -function on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in E and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

AC_{fresh} -functions

Mere continuity is clearly insufficient to characterize any useful indefinite integral.

Suppose one, perhaps a first-year student, were to attempt to modify the definition of AC by eliminating the η condition. We will call the class of functions thus obtained AC_{fresh} :

AC_{fresh} -function

F is an AC_{fresh} -function on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in E and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

Sadly for our freshman student, an AC_{fresh} function on $[a, b]$ has zero variation and is therefore a constant.

AC_{fresh} -functions

Mere continuity is clearly insufficient to characterize any useful indefinite integral.

Suppose one, perhaps a first-year student, were to attempt to modify the definition of AC by eliminating the η condition. We will call the class of functions thus obtained AC_{fresh} :

AC_{fresh} -function

F is an **AC_{fresh} -function** on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ ~~there exists $\eta > 0$ such that~~
 $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping
 intervals that have endpoints in E ~~and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.~~

Sadly for our freshman student, an AC_{fresh} function on $[a, b]$ has zero variation and is therefore a constant.

The η condition must not be tampered with.

Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

Here we give the definition of the celebrated *Henstock-Kurzweil integral*.

Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

Here we give the definition of the celebrated *Henstock-Kurzweil integral*.

Henstock-Kurzweil integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (or *HK-integrable*) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a value L with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)|I_i| - L| < \varepsilon$ for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$.

Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

Here we give the definition of the celebrated *Henstock-Kurzweil integral*.

Henstock-Kurzweil integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (or *HK*-integrable) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a value L with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)|I_i| - L| < \varepsilon$ for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$.

In order to obtain a descriptive characterization of the *HK*-integral, we will add a condition to the definition of *AC* resulting in the class AC_δ :

Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

Here we give the definition of the celebrated *Henstock-Kurzweil integral*.

Henstock-Kurzweil integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (or *HK-integrable*) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a value L with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)|I_i| - L| < \varepsilon$ for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$.

In order to obtain a descriptive characterization of the *HK*-integral, we will add a condition to the definition of *AC* resulting in the class AC_δ :

AC_δ -function

F is an **AC_δ -function** on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ and a **gauge δ** such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a **finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ having tags** in E and satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

Henstock-Kurzweil Integral

Here we give the definition of the celebrated *Henstock-Kurzweil integral*.

Henstock-Kurzweil integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (or *HK-integrable*) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a value L with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)|I_i| - L| < \varepsilon$ for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$.

In order to obtain a descriptive characterization of the *HK*-integral, we will add a condition to the definition of *AC* resulting in the class AC_δ :

AC_δ -function

F is an AC_δ -function on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ having tags in E and satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

AC_δ -functions

At first glance, this does not give any improvement over AC : a function is AC_δ on $[a, b]$ if and only if it is AC on $[a, b]$. The difference manifests itself when we investigate *generalized AC_δ functions*:

ACG_δ -functions

At first glance, this does not give any improvement over AC : a function is AC_δ on $[a, b]$ if and only if it is AC on $[a, b]$. The difference manifests itself when we investigate *generalized AC_δ functions*:

ACG_δ -functions

F is an ACG_δ -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_δ on E_n for all n .

ACG_δ -functions

At first glance, this does not give any improvement over AC : a function is AC_δ on $[a, b]$ if and only if it is AC on $[a, b]$. The difference manifests itself when we investigate *generalized AC_δ functions*:

ACG_δ -functions

F is an ACG_δ -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_δ on E_n for all n .

A function that is ACG_δ on $[a, b]$ is differentiable a.e. on $[a, b]$. The following gives a descriptive characterization of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral:

ACG_δ -functions

At first glance, this does not give any improvement over AC : a function is AC_δ on $[a, b]$ if and only if it is AC on $[a, b]$. The difference manifests itself when we investigate *generalized AC_δ functions*:

ACG_δ -functions

F is an ACG_δ -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_δ on E_n for all n .

A function that is ACG_δ on $[a, b]$ is differentiable a.e. on $[a, b]$. The following gives a descriptive characterization of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral:

Characterization of Henstock-Kurzweil Integrable Functions

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable if and only if there exists a function F which is ACG_δ on $[a, b]$ such that $F' = f$ a.e.

ACG_δ is a “Belt and Suspenders” approach.

ACG_δ is a “Belt and Suspenders” approach.



ACG_{wise} -functions

At this point, perhaps a second-year student, a wise fool, may propose eliminating the η condition from ACG_δ but keeping the δ -finessness. We will call functions thus obtained AC_{wise} -functions:

ACG_{wise} -functions

At this point, perhaps a second-year student, a wise fool, may propose eliminating the η condition from ACG_δ but keeping the δ -finessness. We will call functions thus obtained AC_{wise} -functions:

AC_{wise} -function

F is an AC_{wise} -function on E , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ having tags in E and satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$. F is an ACG_{wise} -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_{wise} on E_n for all n .

ACG_{wise} -functions

At this point, perhaps a second-year student, a wise fool, may propose eliminating the η condition from ACG_{δ} but keeping the δ -finessness. We will call functions thus obtained AC_{wise} -functions:

AC_{wise} -function

F is an AC_{wise} -function on E , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists ~~$\eta > 0$ and~~ a gauge δ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ having tags in E ~~and satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.~~ F is an ACG_{wise} -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_{wise} on E_n for all n .

Unfortunately for our sophomore, even the function $F(x) = x$ is not an ACG_{wise} -function on $[a, b]$. This is because at least one of the sets E_n has positive outer measure. For any interval I , $F(I) = |I|$ and so regardless of the gauge, by Vitali's lemma, we can find a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| > C\mu^*(E_n)$ for some constant C .

ACG_{wise} -functions

At this point, perhaps a second-year student, a wise fool, may propose eliminating the η condition from ACG_{δ} but keeping the δ -finessness. We will call functions thus obtained AC_{wise} -functions:

AC_{wise} -function

F is an AC_{wise} -function on E , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists ~~$\eta > 0$ and~~ a gauge δ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ having tags in E ~~and satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$~~ . F is an ACG_{wise} -function on E if there exist sets E_n such that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where F is AC_{wise} on E_n for all n .

Unfortunately for our sophomore, even the function $F(x) = x$ is not an ACG_{wise} -function on $[a, b]$. This is because at least one of the sets E_n has positive outer measure. For any interval I , $F(I) = |I|$ and so regardless of the gauge, by Vitali's lemma, we can find a δ -fine division in $[a, b]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| > C\mu^*(E_n)$ for some constant C .

Once again we see that the η condition is essential. Is the δ -finessness also essential? We shall see a bit later that it is when we discuss generalized AC functions.

L^r -Derivative

In what follows, $r \geq 1$.

L^r -Derivative

In what follows, $r \geq 1$.

In 1961, Calderón and Zygmund defined the L^r -derivative:

L^r-Derivative

In what follows, $r \geq 1$.

In 1961, Calderón and Zygmund defined the *L^r-derivative*:

Definition: *L^r*-derivative

A function $F \in L^r[a, b]$ is said to be *L^r-differentiable* at $x \in (a, b)$ if there exists a real number α such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^h |F(x+t) - F(x) - \alpha t|^r dt \right)^{1/r} = o(h)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. The number α is the *L^r-derivative of F at x* .

L^r -Derivative, alternative definition

Using integration by parts, it can be shown that the L^r -derivative can also be defined as follows:

L^r -Derivative, alternative definition

Using integration by parts, it can be shown that the L^r -derivative can also be defined as follows:

Definition: L^r -derivative

A function $F \in L^r[a, b]$ is said to be **L^r -differentiable** at $x \in (a, b)$, if there exists a real number α such that

$$\int_{-h}^h \left| \frac{F(x+t) - F(x)}{t} - \alpha \right|^r dt = o(h)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. The number α is the **L^r -derivative of F at x** .

HK_r -integral

Motivated to define an HK -type integral that integrates all L^r -derivatives, in 2004 PM and Y. Sagher defined the HK_r -integral:

HK_r -integral

Motivated to define an HK -type integral that integrates all L^r -derivatives, in 2004 PM and Y. Sagher defined the HK_r -integral:

Definition: HK_r -integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **L^r -Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (HK_r -integrable) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a function $F \in L^r[a, b]$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i) - f(x_i)(y - x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon.$$

We then set

$$(HK_r) \int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$$

HK_r -integral

Motivated to define an HK -type integral that integrates all L^r -derivatives, in 2004 PM and Y. Sagher defined the HK_r -integral:

Definition: HK_r -integral

A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **L^r -Henstock-Kurzweil integrable** (HK_r -integrable) on $[a, b]$ if there exists a function $F \in L^r[a, b]$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i) - f(x_i)(y - x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon.$$

We then set

$$(HK_r) \int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$$

The HK_r -integral does indeed integrate all L^r -derivatives and strictly contains the HK -integral.

ACG_r -functions

In the same paper PM and YS gave the following definition:

Definition of ACG_r

F is AC_r on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ so that for any δ -fine division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^q$ in $[a, b]$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^q |I_i| < \eta$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon.$$

F is an ACG_r -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r -function.

A Gap – Bridged

Characterization of HK_r -integral

A function f is HK_r -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if there exists $F \in ACG_r[a, b]$ such that $F'_r = f$ a.e.; the function $F(x) - F(a)$ being the indefinite HK_r -integral of f .

A Gap – Bridged

Characterization of HK_r -integral

A function f is HK_r -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if there exists $F \in ACG_r[a, b]$ such that $F'_r = f$ a.e.; the function $F(x) - F(a)$ being the indefinite HK_r -integral of f .

Unfortunately, there was a gap. Whereas AC -functions and ACG_δ -functions possess a derivative a.e., PM and YS did not prove that an ACG_r -function possesses an L^r -derivative a.e. Therefore the above statement provided only a *partial* descriptive characterization of the HK_r -integral.

A Gap – Bridged

Characterization of HK_r -integral

A function f is HK_r -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if there exists $F \in ACG_r[a, b]$ such that $F'_r = f$ a.e.; the function $F(x) - F(a)$ being the indefinite HK_r -integral of f .

Unfortunately, there was a gap. Whereas AC -functions and ACG_δ -functions possess a derivative a.e., PM and YS did not prove that an ACG_r -function possesses an L^r -derivative a.e. Therefore the above statement provided only a *partial* descriptive characterization of the HK_r -integral.

This gap was bridged in 2023:

ACG_r -functions possess an L^r -derivative a.e. (PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone, submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024)

If F is an ACG_r -function on $[a, b]$, then F possesses an L^r -derivative a.e. on $[a, b]$.

ACG-functions

Approximate derivative

Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in (a, b)$. F is **approximately differentiable** at c if there is a measurable set E such that c is a point of density of E and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c, x \in E} \frac{F(x) - F(c)}{x - c}$$

exists. This limit is denoted by $F'_{\text{ap}}(x)$.

ACG-functions

Approximate derivative

Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in (a, b)$. F is **approximately differentiable** at c if there is a measurable set E such that c is a point of density of E and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c, x \in E} \frac{F(x) - F(c)}{x - c}$$

exists. This limit is denoted by $F'_{\text{ap}}(x)$.

ACG-function, [ACG]-function

F is an AC -function on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in E and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$. F is an **ACG-function** on E if E can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC function.

If, moreover, E can be written as a countable union of **closed** sets on each of which F is an AC function, then we say that F is an **[ACG]-function** on E .

Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

Wide Denjoy integral

A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *D-integrable* (*integrable in the wide Denjoy sense*) if there exists a *continuous* *ACG*-function $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{\text{ap}}(x) = f(x)$ at almost all $x \in [a, b]$. We then define $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$.

Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

Wide Denjoy integral

A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *D-integrable* (*integrable in the wide Denjoy sense*) if there exists a *continuous ACG*-function $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{\text{ap}}(x) = f(x)$ at almost all $x \in [a, b]$. We then define $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$.

Kubota integral

A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *Kubota integrable* if there exists an *approximately continuous [ACG]*-function $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{\text{ap}}(x) = f(x)$ at almost all $x \in [a, b]$. We then define $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$.

Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

Wide Denjoy integral

A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *D-integrable* (*integrable in the wide Denjoy sense*) if there exists a *continuous ACG*-function $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{\text{ap}}(x) = f(x)$ at almost all $x \in [a, b]$. We then define $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$.

Kubota integral

A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *Kubota integrable* if there exists an *approximately continuous [ACG]*-function $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{\text{ap}}(x) = f(x)$ at almost all $x \in [a, b]$. We then define $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$.

It is easy to show that the Wide Denjoy integral strictly contains the (narrow) Denjoy integral and therefore the *HK*-integral. Thus the δ -finessness of the divisions in the definition of ACG_δ is necessary.

Descriptive Characterizations of the Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

An *ACG*-function on $[a, b]$ possesses an approximate derivative a.e. (see e.g., Saks) and so the class of continuous *ACG*-functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Wide Denjoy integral, while the class of approximately continuous $[ACG]$ -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Kubota integral.

Descriptive Characterizations of the Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

An ACG -function on $[a, b]$ possesses an approximate derivative a.e. (see e.g., Saks) and so the class of continuous ACG -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Wide Denjoy integral, while the class of approximately continuous $[ACG]$ -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Kubota integral.

The following results are proved PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone, (submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024):

Descriptive Characterizations of the Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

An ACG -function on $[a, b]$ possesses an approximate derivative a.e. (see e.g., Saks) and so the class of continuous ACG -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Wide Denjoy integral, while the class of approximately continuous $[ACG]$ -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Kubota integral.

The following results are proved PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone, (submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024):

- Each ACG_r -function is an $[ACG]$ -function.

Descriptive Characterizations of the Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

An ACG -function on $[a, b]$ possesses an approximate derivative a.e. (see e.g., Saks) and so the class of continuous ACG -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Wide Denjoy integral, while the class of approximately continuous $[ACG]$ -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Kubota integral.

The following results are proved PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone, (submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024):

- Each ACG_r -function is an $[ACG]$ -function.
- There exists a continuous ACG -function which is not L_r -differentiable on a set of positive measure for any r .

Descriptive Characterizations of the Wide Denjoy and Kubota Integrals

An ACG -function on $[a, b]$ possesses an approximate derivative a.e. (see e.g., Saks) and so the class of continuous ACG -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Wide Denjoy integral, while the class of approximately continuous $[ACG]$ -functions gives a descriptive characterization of the Kubota integral.

The following results are proved PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone, (submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024):

- Each ACG_r -function is an $[ACG]$ -function.
- There exists a continuous ACG -function which is not L_r -differentiable on a set of positive measure for any r .
- There exists a function which is D-integrable but which is HK_r -integrable for no r .

Coefficients Problem for Trigonometric Series

We now consider the **coefficients problem for trigonometric series**.

Coefficients Problem for Trigonometric Series

We now consider the **coefficients problem for trigonometric series**.

The following is a straightforward consequence of results found in J. C. Burkill (Proc. London Math. Soc., 1932 and 1951), corrected in H. Burkill (JMAA 1972):

Coefficients Problem for the CP -integral

If the series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \quad (1)$$

converges to a CP -integrable function f almost everywhere on $[0, 2\pi]$ and the partial sums of (1) are bounded for each x except on a countable set, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} (CP) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos nx \, dx, & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} (CP) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin nx \, dx, & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Trigonometric Integrals

In order to strengthen this result it was necessary to show that any HK_r -integral function is integrable in the sense of Burkill's CP -integral.

Trigonometric Integrals

In order to strengthen this result it was necessary to show that any HK_r -integral function is integrable in the sense of Burkill's CP -integral.

The mention of the gauge in the definition ACG_r proved to be inconvenient.

Trigonometric Integrals

In order to strengthen this result it was necessary to show that any HK_r -integral function is integrable in the sense of Burkill's CP -integral.

The mention of the gauge in the definition ACG_r proved to be inconvenient.

We went around this difficulty by defining a new class, the class of ACG_r^* functions, in which no mention of a gauge is made:

Trigonometric Integrals

ACG_r -functions (2004)

F is AC_r on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ -fine division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $[a, b]$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon$. F is an ACG_r -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r -function.

Trigonometric Integrals

ACG_r -functions (2004)

F is AC_r on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ -fine division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $[a, b]$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon$. F is an ACG_r -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r -function.

ACG_r^* -functions (2024)

F is AC_r^* on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon$. F is an ACG_r^* -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r^* -function.

Trigonometric Integrals

ACG_r -functions (2004)

F is AC_r on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ -fine division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $[a, b]$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon$. F is an ACG_r -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r -function.

ACG_r^* -functions (2024)

F is AC_r^* on E if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for any division $\{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ having tags in E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |F(y) - F(x_i)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon$. F is an ACG_r^* -function on $[a, b]$ if $[a, b]$ can be written as countable union of sets on each of which F is an AC_r^* -function.

Note that if one were to take away the η condition from the definition of ACG_r , one would once again obtain a class that fails to contain the function $F(x) = x$. The class ACG_r^* is NOT “belt and suspenders”.

ACG_r^* is just the suspenders.

ACG_r^* is just the suspenders.



A solution to the coefficients problem for HK_r

PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone (submitted to JMAA, 2024), proved:

A solution to the coefficients problem for HK_r

PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone (submitted to JMAA, 2024), proved:

- $ACG_r^* = ACG_r$

A solution to the coefficients problem for HK_r

PM, Skvortsov, Sworowski, Tulone (submitted to JMAA, 2024), proved:

- $ACG_r^* = ACG_r$
- $HK_r \subset CP$

A solution to the coefficients problem for HK_r

Since $HK_r \subset CP$ we have:

Coefficients Problem for the HK_r -integral

If the series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \quad (3)$$

converges to an $\textcolor{brown}{CP}$ HK_r -integrable function f almost everywhere on $[0, 2\pi]$ and the partial sums of (3) are bounded for each x except on a countable set, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} (\textcolor{brown}{CP} HK_r) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos nx \, dx, & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} (\textcolor{brown}{CP} HK_r) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin nx \, dx, & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

References

- J. C. Burkill: *The Cesàro-Perron integral*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (34) 314-322, 1932.
- J. C. Burkill: *Integrals and Trigonometric Series*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (1) 46-57, 1951.
- H. Burkill: *A Note on Trigonometric Series*, (40) 39-44, JMAA, 1972.
- P. Musiał, Y. Sagher: *The L^r Henstock-Kurzweil integral*, pp. 53-81, Studia Math, vol. 160 (1) 2004.
- P. Musiał, V. Skvortsov, F. Tulone: *Comparison of the P_r -integral with Burkill's integrals and some applications to trigonometric series*, JMAA, 2023.
- P. Musiał, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone: *On the L^r -differentiability of Two Lusin Classes and a Full Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -integral*, submitted to Math. Sbornik, 2024.
- P. Musiał, V. Skvortsov, P. Sworowski, F. Tulone: *A New Descriptive Characterization of the HK_r -Integral and its Inclusion in Burkill's Integrals*, submitted to JMAA, 2024.

Chicago 2008

